STATE OF COLORADO FOUR-YEAR IMPLEMENTATION PLAN 2017, 2018, 2019, and 2020

S.T.O.P. VIOLENCE AGAINST WOMEN ACT FORMULA GRANT PROGRAM

SUBMITTED: APRIL 2017



Colorado Department of Public Safety Division of Criminal Justice Office for Victims Programs

TABLE OF CONTENTS

STATE OF COLORADO IMPLEMENTATION PLAN

I.	ntroduction	3
II.	Description of Planning Process	4
III.	Needs and Context	6
IV.	Plan Priorities and Approaches	9
	A. Identified Goals9	
	B. Priority Areas10	
	C. Grant-Making Strategy	
V.	Conclusion	15
VI.	Appendix	16

I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

The state of Colorado has developed a new four-year State Implementation Plan for 2017 - 2020. This plan follows the recommended outline of the *S.T.O.P. Formula Program Implementation Plan Checklist* that was distributed to S.T.O.P. Administrators.

Colorado's State Implementation Plan was prepared by the Colorado Division of Criminal Justice (DCJ). DCJ is the agency appointed by the Governor's Office to apply for, and administer, the S.T.O.P. VAWA funds and is one of seven divisions within the Colorado Department of Public Safety. The seven internal offices within DCJ include:

- the Office of Adult and Juvenile Justice Assistance (OAJJA),
- the Office of Community Corrections (OCC),
- the Office of Research and Statistics (ORS),
- the Office for Victims Programs (OVP),
- the Office of Sex Offender Management Board (SOMB),
- the Office of Domestic Violence Management Board (DVOMB), and
- the Evidence Based Practices Implementation for Capacity Office (EPIC).

OVP currently administers five federal formula grant programs:

- Victims of Crime Act (VOCA) Victim Compensation
- VOCA Victim Assistance
- VOCA Training
- S.T.O.P. Violence Against Women Act (VAWA) and
- Sexual Assault Services Program funds (SASP).

The OVP also administers a *Grants to Encourage Arrest Policies and Enforcement of Protection Orders Program* discretionary grant from OVW. In addition, the OVP administers the State Victim Assistance and Law Enforcement (VALE) grant program. For calendar year 2017, over \$21 million in federal and state victim grant funds have been allocated to 177 subgrantees from the funds administered by OVP.

OVP also develops and implements standards and public policy, and monitors the local VALE and Victim Compensation Boards that operate in the twenty-two judicial districts in Colorado. OVP staffs the Colorado Human Trafficking Council, which has statutory responsibilities for policy recommendations to the General Assembly. Finally, OVP reviews and resolves victim complaints regarding non-compliance with the requirements of the state's Victims' Rights Act. OVP is committed to the physical and emotional recovery of crime victims and to the restoration of victims' confidence in the criminal justice system.

VAWA Implementation Plan: The 2017 - 2020 VAWA Implementation Plan was approved by the state on 2/3/2017 and covers the four-year time period of 2017 - 2020.

II. DESCRIPTION OF PLANNING PROCESS – Required Element

A. Brief description of planning process.

In Colorado, VOCA, VAWA, SASP and State VALE funds are distributed to grantees based on recommendations made by the twenty-six member Crime Victim Services Advisory Board (CVSA) (Attachment A) to the Colorado Department of Public Safety (CDPS). This board uses a competitive application process to make its recommendations. The board is established in Colorado legislation and includes the following representatives: courts, law enforcement, prosecution, victim services, statewide domestic violence coalition, statewide sexual assault coalition, survivors of violent crimes, community members, non-metro domestic violence and sexual assault programs, statewide experts in victim issues, statewide expert in crimes against children, victim compensation, local victim assistance and law enforcement board, and post sentencing. In addition, some members of the board also identify in a personal capacity as survivors and members of underserved populations (including but not limited to the LGBTQ community and ethnic and racial minorities.)

For previous Implementation Plans, Colorado would rely on an ad hoc subcommittee of the CVSA Board to create a needs assessment that was sent to grantees and other victim service professionals, including law enforcement, courts, prosecution, and agencies working with underserved populations. In addition to determining the needs assessment questions, the subcommittee would make recommendations for goals and objectives in the plan based on the review of the survey results.

As a result of the 2013 VAWA Reauthorization, Colorado expanded the committee that determines the needs assessment survey questions to include the additional disciplines listed in VAWA 2013 that are not represented on our CVSA Board. Although our legislatively determined board was already fairly representative of the entities listed in the Reauthorization, it did not include representatives from culturally specific populations, population specific organizations, or tribal governments. In order to better address VAWA requirements, the S.T.O.P. VAWA Administrator proposed the addition of 2 additional members to the board that would represent 2 or more of the following categories: tribal governments; underserved populations; culturally specific populations; and/or population specific organizations. See the proposal as Attachment B. DCJ leadership supported this proposal, and the other members of the board were briefed on the initiative at their July 2016 meeting. The implementation planning process has provided opportunities to work with more representatives of the aforementioned groups. The VAWA Administrator worked with the Manager for OVP to submit candidates for approval from the Executive Director of the Department of Public Safety; a representative from a culturally-specific population who works at an agency serving LatinX survivors of domestic violence was approved to join to Board in January 2017, while a Southern Ute tribal government representative was appointed to the Board. in April 2017. The VAWA Administrator continues to work with representatives from the two federally recognized tribes in Colorado, including visiting the Southern Ute Tribe in March of 2017 in their area, to continue to build these partnerships (the next visits to both the Southern Ute Reservation and the Ute Mountain Ute Reservation are scheduled for June 2017).

The VAWA administrator held two separate meetings with members of the VAWA Implementation Planning Group. The first meeting was held on 9/23/2016, with the following disciplines represented: courts, prosecutors, law enforcement, victim services, SANE/medical personnel, the statewide domestic violence coalition, the statewide sexual assault coalition, Tribal governments, underserved (a representative from an agency serving disabled survivors was invited but did not attend), culturally specific

populations (representatives for organizations serving LatinX survivors and immigrant survivors participated), and representatives from the administrators of the Family Violence Prevention and Services Act (FVPSA) funds, Victims of Crime Act (VOCA) funds, and the Rape Prevention Education (Interpersonal Violence Prevention Unit) funds. The second meeting was held on 11/10/2016 with the following disciplines represented: courts, prosecutors, law enforcement, victim services, SANE/medical personnel, the statewide domestic violence coalition, the statewide sexual assault coalition, Tribal governments, underserved populations, culturally specific populations, and representatives from the FVPSA and VOCA. Both of the meetings were held inperson, and those who were unable to attend in person could access the meeting via conference call.

Members of the Planning Group completed and reviewed the existing VAWA Needs Assessment Survey. Having an expanded Implementation Planning Group ensured that our needs assessment survey was comprehensive, as well as ensuring that we asked more specific questions aimed at gathering information about services to underserved populations and about training needs at the local level. The VAWA Needs Assessment Survey was emailed on 9/2/2016 to the Implementation Planning Group. Of the 28 members in the group, 19 completed the survey. The decision to only send the assessment survey to members of the planning group, instead of the state as a whole, was based on the fact that the DCJ conducted a statewide needs assessment of multi-disciplinary partners and victim service providers in 2015 (219 programs participated in the survey). The results from this statewide needs assessment have been presented to the CVSA Board and other stakeholder groups over the last two years, and has been influential in the direction of all funding administered by the OVP. The results were also used to lead and stimulate discussion at both of the in-person implementation meetings.

A copy of the draft Implementation Plan was sent to the planning group on 12/28/2016. The final plan was sent to the planning group on 2/10/2017.

B. Documentation from planning team members.

Documentation of participation in the planning process is included in Attachment C, as is the S.T.O.P. Planning Committee Participation Log (Attachment D) and Tribal Consultation Worksheet (Attachment E). The planning group was instrumental in reviewing the draft plan and interpreting the data gathered by the 2015 statewide needs assessment and 2016 VAWA needs assessment. The information was used to identify the needs of victims in our state, and the areas where we need to improve services to victims.

C. Description of how the state coordinated the state plan for Family Violence Prevention and Services Act, Victims of Crime Act and the Public Health Services Act (Rape Prevention Education), including how this implementation plan changed as a result of such coordination.

As is mentioned earlier in this document, the OVP administers several federal grant programs, one of which is the Victims of Crime Act funds, through a consolidated application and funding process. Consolidating the application and funding process allows the OVP to efficiently and effectively ensure that services to victims of crime are available throughout the entire state. It also allows for almost daily coordination between the VOCA and S.T.O.P. VAWA programs. The VOCA administrator was given a copy of the plan on 12/28/2016.

The Office for Victims Programs works closely with the state's Domestic Violence Program (DVP), which administers FVPSA dollars, as our federal funding sources fund many of the same agencies. If there are concerns with particular agencies we often collaborate to resolve the problems. In addition, a representative from the OVP serves on the funding committee that makes the FVPSA awards. For the purposes of the Implementation Plan, a copy of the draft plan was sent to the DVP director on 12/28/2016 for input and feedback on the plan, and the DVP director was present at both in-person meetings of the planning group. The final plan was sent to the DVP director on 2/10/2017.

For the Rape Prevention Education (RPE) program (Interpersonal Violence Prevention Unit), the OVP sent a copy of the draft plan to the Unit Supervisor on 12/28/2016 for input and feedback on the plan. The Interpersonal Violence Prevention Unit Supervisor was present for the first in-person planning group meeting and provided extensive information on their efforts throughout the state, and potential partnerships for use of the 5% allowable funds for prevention activities under VAWA. The final plan was sent to the Unit Supervisor on 2/10/2017.

III. NEEDS AND CONTEXT

A. Colorado Demographics - Required Element

According to the most recent U.S. Census Bureau information, Colorado's estimated population in 2015 was 5,456,574, making us the 22nd most populous state in the country. In addition, population density varies greatly across the state as shown in Attachment F. This number represents an 8.5% population increase from 2010.

- Colorado's population includes, but is not limited to, the following groups:
 - 1. African American (4.35%)
 - 2. American Indian or Alaska Native (1.6%)
 - 3. Asian (3.2%)
 - 4. Native Hawaiian and other Pacific Islander (0.2%)
 - 5. Latino (21.3%)
 - 6. Identify as two or more races (2.9%)
 - 7. Speak a language other than English in the home (16.9%)
 - 8. Elderly -over age 65 (13%)
 - 9. Female (49.7%)
 - 10. Male (50.3%)
 - 11. Persons in poverty (11.5%) (Attachment G)

Urban/Rural Areas

Urban Areas (Attachment H)

	CITY	POPULATION		CITY	POPULATION
1	Denver	683,096	6	Thornton	132,848
2	Colorado Sprgs.	451,585	7	Arvada	115,174
3	Aurora	357,340	8	Westminster	112,737
4	Fort Collins	160,953	9	Pueblo	109,264
5	Lakewood	153,024	10	Centennial	108,886

Rural Areas (Attachment I) The most rural cities in Colorado range in population from 14 – 75 people. In order to provide a clearer picture of the rural areas of Colorado, county, rather than city, data is provided in the chart below.

		<u> </u>			
	COUNTY	POPULATION		COUNTY	POPULATION
1	San Juan	705	6	Cheyenne	1,846
2	Mineral	728	7	Dolores	1,953
3	Hinsdale	767	8	Sedgwick	2,376
4	Jackson	1,352	9	Costilla	3,578
5	Kiowa	1,391	10	Baca	3,596

Approximately 85% of Colorado's population lives along the I-25 corridor that stretches north from Cheyenne, Wyoming to Pueblo, Colorado. The rural population of Colorado is concentrated primarily in the southwest and northeast regions of the state, but is also scattered around the southeast and northwest areas of the state.

B. Underserved Populations (Attachment J) – *Required Element*

- The highest concentration of Colorado's Latino population is in the 12th judicial district, also known as the San Luis Valley, and the 3rd and 16th judicial districts all of which are in the southern/south eastern portion of the state. Five of the six counties in the 12th judicial district are more than 40% Hispanic and one county is 66% Hispanic. This is a rural part of the state, and is an area that is financially under-resourced. Geography, such as mountain passes, creates barriers or challenges to service accessibility. (Attachment K);
- The highest concentration of Colorado's Asian population is in urban areas, primarily along the I-25 corridor;
- The highest concentrations of Colorado's Indian population are in the Denver metro area, followed by the Ute Mountain Ute and Southern Ute reservations in the southwest and northwest corners of the state.

C. Crime Statistics— Optional Element

- According to the 2015 *Crime in Colorado* report released by the Colorado Bureau of Investigation, 3,275 forcible rapes were reported by Colorado law enforcement agencies in 2015; this is a 10.6% increase over the 2014 figure (Attachment L).
- According to the 2015 Domestic Violence Program Executive Data Summary, Colorado programs provided services to 19,594 domestic violence victims including 59,123 crisis calls and 91,148 nights of shelter (Attachment M).
- According to the 2015 *Crime in Colorado* Report, a total of 17,723 domestic violence-related incidents were investigated by Colorado law enforcement agencies, including 26 homicides, 541 forcible sexual offenses, 96 robberies, 1,993 aggravated assaults, 12,898 simple assaults, 1,017 intimidations, and 1,152 kidnappings (Attachment N).

• During 2015, 37 people died in domestic violence-related incidents, according to the Domestic Violence Fatality Review Committee which tracks and reviews direct and corollary deaths related to domestic violence (Attachment O).

D. Needs Survey - Optional Element

As mentioned above, in the description of our planning process, members of the planning group completed and reviewed the VAWA Needs Assessment Survey. The VAWA Needs Assessment Survey was emailed on 9/2/2016 to the Implementation Planning Group. Of the 28 members in the group, 19 completed the survey (Attachment P).

The top 5 needs identified by the survey were:

- 1. Not enough bilingual/bicultural victim advocates or therapists
- 2. Lack of affordable housing
- 3. Lack of accessible housing
- 4. Lack of transitional housing
- 5. Not enough civil legal services

The top 5 needed training topics identified by discipline were:

- 1. LGBTQ issues (Law Enforcement)
- 2. General Training of DV, SA, Stalking and Dating Violence (Law Enforcement)
- 3. LGBTQ Issues (Prosecution)
- 4. Hate motivated DV, SA, Stalking & Dating Violence (Courts)
- 5. Hate motivated DV, SA, Stalking & Dating Violence (Law Enforcement)

Other themes that emerged in the open-ended questions section of the survey, and throughout the discussions during the in-person meetings were:

- The desire to conduct more prevention initiatives and to have access to increased funding for prevention initiatives.
- The on-going capacity struggles organizations are facing in regards to hiring bilingual/bicultural staff; recruiting and retaining qualified staff; and becoming ready to support bilingual/bicultural victim-centric services.
- The high level of on-site technical assistance and training being requested by organizations in regards to organizational capacity issues, especially financial management.
- The need for continued outreach and engagement of underserved populations, specifically the tribes and immigrant communities.

Several of the issues were also previously identified through DCJ's statewide needs assessment of multi-disciplinary partners and victim service providers in 2015. As a result, OVP launched three statewide special projects (Civil Legal Services for Crime Victims; Housing for Crime Victims; and Emergency Funds for Crime Victims) in the fall of 2015 to address these needs at a statewide level. In addition, many of these issues identified in the survey continue to be addressed through our funding of programs to maintain the infrastructure of core services to victims. The CVSA Board uses the results of the needs assessment survey, the implementation plan and the studies that have been conducted to make funding decisions.

IV. PLAN PRIORITIES AND APPROACHES

A. Identified Goals - Required Element

The goals and objectives established in this Implementation Plan reflect the needs identified in the 2015 needs assessment and 2016 VAWA needs assessment survey.

Because Colorado conducts a competitive grant application process, and allows applications for any of the twenty federal purpose areas, our goals and objectives are necessarily broad.

Goal 1: The goal of the Crime Victim Services (CVS) Advisory Board is to support Colorado communities in their efforts to develop and strengthen effective law enforcement and prosecution strategies to combat domestic violence, sexual assault, stalking and dating violence and to strengthen victim services and judicial response in these cases.

Objective A: Each funding year during 2017 – 2020, the CVS Advisory Board will review data related to the demography, geography, underserved populations and unmet needs of Colorado communities so that VAWA dollars are distributed equitably throughout the state, within the context of our consolidated funding process.

Objective B: Each funding year during 2017-2020, the CVS Advisory Board will review applications to ensure that they address at least one federal purpose area, and one of the five categories listed in the funding philosophy. Funds will be allocated according to the federal requirements established by OVW.

Objective C: Each funding year during 2017-2020 the CVS Advisory Board will ensure the effective use of VAWA grant funds by requiring programs to include measurable outcomes and to demonstrate community collaboration to address domestic violence, sexual assault, stalking, and/or dating violence in their communities.

Objective D: Consistent with guidance from the federal Office on Violence Against Women, during the 2017-2020 time period, the CVS Advisory Board will support the maintenance of the victim services infrastructure for domestic violence, sexual assault, stalking, and/or dating violence as the priority use of the victim services portion of the VAWA funds.

Goal 2: As funds are available, provide support and/or funding to special projects that address identified needs that are gathered from a variety of sources, including the needs assessment, Crime Victim Services Advisory Board members, Implementation Planning Group members, and other stakeholders.

Objective A: During the 2017-2020 time period, the S.T.O.P. VAWA Administrator will continue to conduct outreach and schedule in-person engagement activities with organizations providing services to underserved populations, specifically but not limited to representatives of the Southern Ute and the Ute Mountain Ute.

Objective B: During the 2017-2020 time period, the Crime Victim Services Advisory Board and/or the Office for Victims Programs will provide funding for special projects to address the needs of victims of domestic violence, sexual assault, stalking and/or dating violence identified by our stakeholders and that may not be met through the established funding process.

Objective C: During the 2017-2020 time period, the Crime Victim Services Advisory Board and Office for Victims Programs will facilitate the addition of two additional members to the Board representative of one or more of the following groups: tribal governments; underserved populations; culturally specific populations; and population specific-serving organizations.

Goal 3: The Crime Victim Services Board will work to reduce the rate of domestic violence related homicides within the state of Colorado.

Objective A: During the 2017-2020 time period, the S.T.O.P. VAWA Administrator will collaborate with the Denver Domestic Violence Coordinating Council to gather information as to how the findings of the task force can be applied more broadly across the state, as appropriate, and to share this information with the Crime Victim Services Advisory Board and other stakeholder groups.

Objective B: During the 2017-2020 time period, the Crime Victim Services Advisory Board will review applications for funding for programs that work to identify offenders at high risk of committing homicide against their victim, as appropriate.

B. Priority Areas – Required Element

Priorities/Goals and description of projects to be supported with S.T.O.P. dollars: Colorado will maintain its broad approach to distributing VAWA funds by allowing applicants to apply for funds as long as the project meets at least one of the twenty federal purpose areas, and one of the five funding categories listed in the board's funding philosophy. Colorado will not specifically set aside funds for the Crystal Judson Domestic Violence Protocol Program, as we do not limit the purpose areas for which projects may apply, and do not target individual purpose areas in our solicitation.

As indicated in our goals and objectives, Colorado will continue to use S.T.O.P. VAWA funds to support Colorado communities in their efforts to develop and strengthen effective law enforcement and prosecution strategies to combat violent crimes against women and to strengthen victim services and judicial response in cases involving violent crimes against women. In addition, the CVS Advisory Board has prioritized the continued support of victim services programs for the 2017-2020 time periods. The services provided by these programs are primarily direct services to victims of domestic violence, sexual assault, stalking and dating violence victims. Other types of projects include programs for battered immigrants, underserved victims such as deaf and hard of hearing victims, victims with other disabilities, or LGBTQ victims, training programs for rural communities, programs providing civil

legal services to victims and SANE/SART programs. Because much of our state is considered rural, the programs funded through S.T.O.P. VAWA are extremely important and in many cases are the only programs offering services in an entire region of the state.

Also during the 2017-2020 time periods, the CVS Advisory Board will consider funding special projects. Purpose areas may be limited if the board chooses to conduct a separate solicitation for special projects, or if the state chooses to fund special projects. Based on the 2016 needs assessment survey, it is likely that the focus of the special projects will be on training around many victim-related issues; primarily prevention initiatives (as able and appropriate); support programs' bilingual/bicultural victim-centric services and staff; and continued outreach to underserved populations, specifically organizations serving the disabled, LGBTQ survivors, the tribes, and immigrant communities.

Distribution of funds:

Using federal requirements, funds will be distributed as follows:

- 25% for law enforcement projects
- 25% for prosecution projects
- 30% for victim services projects (of which 10% will be for underserved populations meeting the VAWA definition of a culturally specific organization)
- 5% for courts projects
- 15% for discretionary purposes

Colorado has long used most of the discretionary dollars to support victim services projects; therefore our support of victim services projects is usually closer to 40%. In all instances, the federal requirements for distributing funds will be the minimum amount allocated in each category, unless we do not receive enough applications for these allocation categories. Based on feedback from the Implementation Planning Group, the VAWA Administrator is working with the rest of the grants team at the OVP to identify the potential for using the allowable 5% of discretionary funding for prevention activities and how to meet the identify training needs primarily on various disciplines working with LGBTQ survivors and other underserved groups during the 2017-2020 time period.

Colorado will use the 10% allowed by OVW for administrative purposes to support staff members who work on eligible VAWA activities, including the grant application process, financial and program management of subgrantees, as well as oversight and monitoring of VAWA subgrantees.

Sexual Assault Set Aside:

Colorado has consistently met the 20% threshold for sexual assault services through the law enforcement, prosecution, victim services, and/or courts allocation categories. It is anticipated we will be able to continue to meet this threshold in the future as we have increased our funding to SANE/SART programs around the state, and continue to fund specialized sexual assault prosecutors.

Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA):

Colorado anticipates using 5% of our funds (4.75% of eligible categories) for PREA purposes. These funds are currently being used by the Colorado Department of Corrections and Community Corrections (this program is a part of the same state department that oversees the S.T.O.P. funds) to implement PREA-eligible activities,

including conducting PREA audits around the state and supporting community-based organizations in providing victim services to incarcerated victims.

Documentation from Prosecution, Law Enforcement, Courts and Victim Services: Documentation from these entities is included in Attachment Q.

C. Grant-Making Strategy- Required Element

1. Crime Victim Services Advisory Board:

The Crime Victim Services Advisory Board is established in legislation and is charged with reviewing applications for funding for Victims of Crime Act (VOCA) funds, Violence Against Women Act (VAWA) funds, Sexual Assault Services Program funds (SASP) and Colorado's State Victim Assistance and Law Enforcement funds (State VALE). After reviewing applications, the CVS Advisory Board makes funding recommendations to the Director of the Colorado Division of Criminal Justice and the Executive Director of the Colorado Department of Public Safety (CDPS). Members of Colorado's CVS Advisory Board include 17 statutory members and 9 CDPS executive appointments, many of whom represent the disciplines referenced in the federal VAWA legislation (Attachment A). The VAWA Administrator is working with the Manager for the Office for Victims Programs (OVP) to submit the additional candidates from both tribal government and underserved populations for approval from the Executive Director of the Department of Public Safety so that they may join the board in 2017.

2. Solicitation/Grant Process and Equitable Geographic Distribution of funds:
In February 2016, the CVSA Board distributed a widespread announcement (solicitation) for grant applications which elicited many applications from each of the targeted disciplines. The announcement, (Attachment R) describes the competitive application process and also that grant awards are made for a two-year period of time: calendar years 2017 and 2018. The only exception to the competitive process is for the courts portion of the S.T.O.P. funds; the 5% allocated for the courts are distributed to the state judicial department as a pass-through grant. The judicial department must still complete an application that is reviewed by staff and the Crime Victim Services Advisory Board to ensure the proposed project meets all VAWA eligibility requirements.

All applications are reviewed by staff and by the CVSA Board within the context of the Board's funding philosophy (Attachment S). A subcommittee process is used by the board, and each of the application review committees (ARCs) of the board conducts an in-depth review of between 30 - 35 applications. Each ARC comes to the full board meeting to make its recommendations and then the full board discusses each application before voting on a funding recommendation.

The distribution of the solicitation and the grant review process result in funding recommendations that are sent to the Director of the Colorado Division of Criminal Justice and the Executive Director of the Colorado Department of Public Safety for approval or revision. In addition to the Advisory Board's funding

philosophy, the Board reviews applications and makes funding recommendations while considering the following elements:

- Federal Purpose Areas Subgrants supported with 2017 2018 funds must meet one or more of the 20 federal purpose areas;
- State funding categories;
- The VAWA Implementation Plan;
- The perceived and expressed need for the proposed services;
- The degree to which the proposed services will address the needs of underserved populations; and
- The availability of services throughout the state.

As is clear from the funding philosophy, the CVS Advisory Board places a high priority on considering areas of varying geographic size with the greatest showing of need based on the availability of existing programs, the geographic area to be served and the administrative capacity of the program.

In addition, because the Office for Victims Programs uses a consolidated funding process, we are able to more effectively distribute the S.T.O.P. VAWA and all other grant funds more equitably. Each of Colorado's 22 judicial districts receives either federal S.T.O.P. VAWA or federal VOCA funds. For calendar year 2016, 13 of the 22 judicial districts and 5 projects that provide services from which the entire state benefits, received VAWA funds. In 2017, 10 of the 22 judicial districts and 4 projects that provide services from which the entire state benefits, received VAWA funds (Attachment T).

3. Determining the Amount of Subgrants Based on Population and Geographic Area: As described earlier in this Plan, Colorado conducts a competitive application process for awarding S.T.O.P. and other federal and state funds managed by the Office for Victims Programs at the Division of Criminal Justice. The Crime Victim Services Advisory Board makes funding recommendations to the Division of Criminal Justice that are approved by the Executive Director. When the actual contracts are being written, staff decides which funds will be awarded to which agencies, usually based on which funding sources can fund particular activities. For instance, if the board awarded funds to a district attorney's office to pay for a specialized sexual assault prosecutor, the grant would have to be funded by S.T.O.P. VAWA because prosecution would not be an eligible expense under any of the other funding sources.

As mentioned in the previous section, S.T.O.P. VAWA funds are awarded to a variety of judicial districts around the state, including our highly populated metropolitan areas, and rural areas where services are limited and where populations are much smaller than in the metropolitan areas of the state.

4. *Timeline/Multiple Year Funding of Subgrants* (Attachment U): Colorado conducts its competitive funding process every two years, which means when applicants apply for funds, they are awarded grants for a two year period. Our most recent solicitation was released in February 2016 for calendar year 2017 and 2018 funding. The Crime Victim Services Advisory Board meets annually, usually in February. In years when Colorado conducts their competitive

application process, the focus of the board meeting is on the upcoming funding process. In years when there is no funding process, the Crime Victim Services Advisory Board meets to review the most recent funding process so that adjustments can be made, if necessary, to the next funding process and to discuss funding special projects that are needed to help Colorado meet the needs identified in the VAWA Needs Assessment, Implementation Plan and from other sources and to set priorities for the next funding process.

During funding years, the solicitation is distributed in the winter and applications are due four to six weeks after the announcement is released. Application workshops are conducted via webinar and throughout the state to provide technical assistance to applicants on the VAWA requirements, state priorities and on the application requirements. In addition, applicants can contact grant managers at OVP individually to get their questions answered. Once received, applications are reviewed by staff and Board application review committees in the spring/summer. In July or August, the full Board convenes to review applications and make funding recommendations. Once the funding recommendations are approved, contracts are developed with the funded agencies in the fall for a grant start date of January 1.

5. *Consultation*: During grant application workshops for applicants, the consultation requirement is discussed. As part of the application process, systems agencies are required to answer a question on the application as to their consultation process and partners. This requirement is also included as a special condition/program specific requirement in the agency's grant agreement.

D. Addressing the Needs of Underserved Victims - Required Element

- 1. Description of how state will recognize and address needs of underserved populations: Input regarding the identification and needs of underserved victims is obtained in a variety of ways. First, representatives of underserved populations and agencies that work with underserved populations were a part of the planning process. Second, information about underserved populations was incorporated into the needs assessment survey that was sent to victim services and systems representatives around the state. Third, information about underserved populations is received from applicants in the application as they are required to identify diverse and underserved populations in their area, and to describe their agency's plan to address those needs. Colorado will address the needs of underserved populations by providing funding to agencies that serve underserved populations. These include populations such as battered immigrants, Hispanic victims, deaf and disabled victims, rural victims, and LGBT populations. Lastly, additional member representatives of these underserved groups was added to the Crime Victims Services Advisory Board in February 2017.
- 2. Specifics on how Colorado will meet the set aside for culturally specific community based organizations: Because Colorado's S.T.O.P. VAWA funds are distributed using a competitive application process in conjunction with VOCA, SASP and our State VALE funds, we receive requests for funding from over 200 agencies during each funding cycle from a wide variety of agencies. We will meet the set aside for culturally specific community based organizations by

making sure that organizations that apply for funds and meet the definition of culturally specific community based organizations are funded through S.T.O.P. VAWA. The Office for Victims Programs, which manages all of these funding sources, currently funds several organizations that meet the VAWA 2013 definition of culturally specific community based organization.

- 3. A description of how Colorado will ensure that monies set aside to fund culturally specific services and activities for underserved populations are distributed equitably among those populations: As mentioned in earlier sections of the plan, Colorado's competitive application process elicits applications from a wide variety of agencies serving a wide variety of victims, including underserved victims that meet the VAWA definition of culturally specific. All of the funds awarded during the competitive process are distributed as equitably as possible through our 26-member board representing multiple disciplines and victims, and multiple areas of the state. Programs in all twenty-two of our judicial districts receive funding from S.T.O.P. VAWA or one of the other sources of funding administered through our office. Additional outreach efforts will be conducted during the 2017-2020 time period to increase awareness of the funding available in hopes of increasing the number of programs serving these groups to successfully apply for funding.
- 4. Specific information as to which subgrantees meet the required 10% set aside for culturally specific organizations within the victim services allocation (if known): The following agencies will be funded for the 2017 and 2018 funding years and meet the required 10% set aside for culturally specific organizations within the victim services allocation: Red Wind Consulting, Inc. and San Luis Valley Immigrant Resource Center.
 - Red Wind Consulting, Inc.: S.T.O.P VAWA funds support Red Wind's Haseya Advocate Program that provides culturally informed direct services to urban Indian victims/survivors of domestic violence, sexual assault, stalking and sex trafficking.
 - San Luis Valley Immigrant Resource Center (SLV IRC): S.T.O.P VAWA funds support the SLV IRC's Por Ti Misma-Assisting Battered Immigrants Program that provides assistance to domestic violence and sexual assault immigrant victims in the San Luis Valley, Colorado.

V. <u>CONCLUSION</u>

Colorado's Implementation Plan for the use of S.T.O.P. VAWA funds is based on a comprehensive process designed to address, in the most efficient and effective manner possible given available funds, the state's ability to carry out the intent of the S.T.O.P. VAWA formula grants program.

Input in developing the implementation plan was provided by representatives of multiple agencies and disciplines who were a part of the planning group and/or the Crime Victim Services Advisory Board, and from providers serving crime victims around the state through both the 2015 statewide needs assessment and the 2016 VAWA needs assessment surveys. Before final approval, this plan was reviewed by the planning group,

the VOCA Administrator in the Office for Victims Programs, the FVPSA program, and the Public Health Services Act program (aka the **Interpersonal Violence Prevention Unit**).

VI. APPENDIX

Attachment	Title of Document
Attachment A	2017 Crime Victim Services Advisory Board member list
Attachment B	Proposed Additions to the Crime Victim Services (CVS) Advisory Board
Attachment C	Documentation of Collaboration Forms from Planning Committee Members
Attachment D	S.T.O.P Planning Committee Participation Log
Attachment E	Tribal Consultation Worksheet
Attachment F	Population Density, State of Colorado
Attachment G	United States Census Bureau, QuickFacts, Colorado
Attachment H	Population by Municipality
Attachment I	Population by County
Attachment J	Race and Ethnicity by County
Attachment K	Latino Population Density
Attachment L	Crime in Colorado 2015
Attachment M	2015 Domestic Violence Program Executive Data Summary
Attachment N	2015 Domestic Violence Report
Attachment O	Domestic Violence Fatality Review Report for 2015
Attachment P	2016 VAWA Needs Assessment Survey Results
Attachment Q	Documentation from Prosecution, Law Enforcement, Courts and Victim Services Programs
Attachment R	2016 CVS Announcement of Availability of Funds
Attachment S	Crime Victim Services Advisory Board's Funding Philosophy
Attachment T	Funding Distribution Map for Calendar Year 2017 STOP grants
Attachment U	Schedule of Activities (for Calendar Year 2017 & 2018 Funding)

2017 Crime Victim Services Advisory Board

The Crime Victim Services Advisory Board is a 27 member board appointed by the Executive Director of the Colorado Department of Public Safety. The Board acts in an advisory capacity to CDPS and DCJ making funding recommendations regarding State VALE, SASP, VAWA, and VOCA crime victim assistance projects.

Ms. Tammy Anckner Crime Victim Representative	Mr. Steve Aurand Victims Compensation Program	Ms. Irene Blatnick Community Member
Onnie vieum representative	Administrator	Representative
Chief John Collins Police Chief	Ms. Brielyn Franklin Sexual Assault Program Representative	The Honorable Christie Bachmeyer Judge
Ms. Gerald Smith Local VALE Board Representative	Ms. Emily Humphrey Deputy District Attorney Representative	Ms. Barbara Kendall Community Member Representative
Ms. Nancy Lewis Statewide Victims Organization Representative	Ms. Jill McFadden, Chair Community Member Representative	Ms. Amy Miller Domestic Violence Program Representative
Ms. Diane Moore Non-Metro Sexual Assault Program	Ms. Julie Olson Non-Metro Domestic Violence Program	Ms. Kathleen Schoen Statewide Expert in Victim Issues & Services Representative
Ms. Gwen Schooley Statewide Expert in Crimes Against Children Representative	Mr. Steve Siegel Statewide Expert in Victim Issues & Services Representative	Sheriff Tony Spurlock Sheriff Representative
Ms. Amanda Terrell-Orr Community Member Representative	Ms. Jalice Vigil Post-Sentencing Representative	Ms. Sunni Ward DA's Office Administrator of Victims & Witness Assistance
Ms. Eva Wilson Chief Deputy District Attorney Representative	Ms. Lisa Rowe Judicial District Administrator	Ms. Sabine Bendenoun Crime Victim Representative
Ms. Lisa Manazares Tribal Government Representative	Ms. Silvia Gonsalez Culturally-Specific Representative	Mr. Scott Van Horn Victims Compensation Board Representative

Proposed Additions to the Crime Victim Services (CVS) Advisory Board

As you know, the federal legislation overseeing the Violence Against Women Act (VAWA) program was reauthorized in calendar year 2013. This reauthorization requires states to submit a new implementation plan every three years. Our last three-year plan was submitted in 2014, so as the VAWA Administrator, I am beginning to plan and prepare our next plan to be submitted in 2017. Among the many changes that accompanied the 2013 reauthorization, one important change in requirements is that the implementation plan must document the ways in which the State consults and coordinates with enumerated entities, specifically:

- 1. State sexual assault coalition
- 2. State domestic violence coalition
- 3. Law enforcement entities
- 4. Prosecution entities
- 5. State and local courts
- 6. Victim Services Providers
- 7. Tribal Governments
- 8. Underserved Populations (includes populations underserved because of geographic location, religion, sexual orientation, gender identity, underserved racial and ethnic populations, populations underserved because of special needs (such as language barriers, disabilities, alienage status, or age), and any other population determined to be underserved by the Attorney General or by the Secretary of Health and Human Services, as appropriate.)
- 9. Culturally Specific populations (primarily directed toward racial and ethnic minority groups (as defined in section 1707(g) of the Public Health Service Act (42 U.S.C. 300u-6(g)) as American Indians (including Alaska Natives, Eskimos, and Aleuts); Asian Americans; Native Hawaiians and other Pacific Islanders; Blacks; and Hispanics.)
- 10. Population specific organizations (victim-centered services organizations that address the safety, health, economic, legal, housing, workplace, immigration, confidentiality, or other needs of victims in domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, or stalking, and that are designed primarily for and are targeted to a specific underserved population.)

In the past, DCJ has pulled together a small group of people from the CVS board to determine the best way to gather information from stakeholders so that goals & objectives for VAWA funds could be identified and incorporated into the state plan and distributed during our funding meetings. This information was then shared with the full board for comments and suggestions before being submitted to the federal Office on Violence Against Women. Because states are required to have involvement from a larger group of people, not all represented on the CVS Advisory Board, process has become somewhat segregated, and CVS Advisory Board Members and outside stakeholders are asked to participate in a variety of different meetings.

In an attempt to not only streamline the process of planning for and creating the VAWA Implementation Plan, but to also increase the CVS Advisory Board's diversity, we are proposing adding additional members to the Board in one or more of the following categories: tribal governments; underserved populations; culturally specific populations; and/or population specific organizations. Because there is some overlap among these categories, we do not foresee needing to add more than two new positions to the Board, bringing the total from 25 to 27 members. The addition of these specific members does not conflict with the statute that brought the Board into existence, as it states that "the Advisory Board shall consist of at least seventeen members appointed by the Executive Director, including but not limited to..." the aforementioned parties.

State/Territory: Colorado
Administering Agency: Office for Victims Programs, Division of Criminal Justice
Collaborating Agency: Colorado Coolition Against Sexual Assault
Category of collaborating agency (e.g., victim service provider, law enforcement, tribal, etc.):
Planning Team Meeting Date(s): 9/23/2016; 11/20/2016
Please answer the following questions:
Did you participate in planning meeting (please circle one): Ves No
If so, did you participate X In-person attendance Via conference call
Did you have adequate opportunity to discuss the concerns or issues impacting your area of expertise and/or the population (s) you were representing?
Did you receive a copy of the draft plan? No
Did you review the draft plan?
Do you feel the primary needs were addressed in draft plan? Yes No
Did you offer feedback on draft plan?
Did you receive a copy of the state's final plan prior to submission to OVW?
Were you satisfied that the plan included any issues you may have asked be included? Yes No
If no, please explain:
Signature Signature
Dated: 2/13/2017

State/Territory: Colorado		
Administering Agency: Office for Victims Programs, Division of	Criminal	Justice
Collaborating Agency: Colorado Department of Human Violence Program Category of collaborating agency (e.g., victim service provider, law enf	Semi	es Domesti
Category of collaborating agency (e.g., victim service provider, law enforcements agency - FVPSA administrator	orcement,	tribal, etc.):
Planning Team Meeting Date(s): 9/23/2016; 11/20/2016		
Please answer the following questions:		
Did you participate in planning meeting (please circle one):	Yes	No
If so, did you participate X In-person attendance Via	conferen	ce call
Did you have adequate opportunity to discuss the concerns or issues impacting your area of expertise and/or the population (s) you were representing?	Yes	No
Did you receive a copy of the draft plan?	Yes	No
Did you review the draft plan?	Yes	No
Do you feel the primary needs were addressed in draft plan?	Yes	No
Did you offer feedback on draft plan?	Yes	No
Did you receive a copy of the state's final plan prior to submission to OVW?	Yes	No
Were you satisfied that the plan included any issues you may have asked be included?	Yes	No
If no, please explain:		
300ke Elishen		

State/Territory: Colorado	_
Administering Agency: Office for Victims Programs, Division of Criminal Justice	
Collaborating Agency: San Luis Valley Immigrant Resource Center	
Category of collaborating agency (e.g., victim service provider, law enforcement, tribal, etc.): culturally-specific organization	
Planning Team Meeting Date(s):9/23/2016; 11/20/2016	
Please answer the following questions:	
Did you participate in planning meeting (please circle one): Yes No	
If so, did you participate X In-person attendance Via conference call	
Did you have adequate opportunity to discuss the concerns or issues impacting your area of expertise and/or the population (s) you were representing?	
Did you receive a copy of the draft plan? Yes No	
Did you review the draft plan?	
Do you feel the primary needs were addressed in draft plan? No	
Did you offer feedback on draft plan? Yes	
Did you receive a copy of the state's final plan prior to submission to OVW?	
Were you satisfied that the plan included any issues you may have asked be included? No	
If no, please explain:	
v v	
Camai M. Aluu. Signature	
Dated:2/14/2017	

State/Territory: Colorado
Administering Agency: Office for Victims Programs, Division of Criminal Justice
Collaborating Agency: SOUTHERN UTE TRIBAL COURT
Category of collaborating agency (e.g., victim service provider, law enforcement, tribal, etc.):
Planning Team Meeting Date(s): 9/23/2016; 11/20/2016
Please answer the following questions:
Did you participate in planning meeting (please circle one): Yes No
If so, did you participate In-person attendance Via conference call (Born)
Did you have adequate opportunity to discuss the concerns or issues impacting your area of expertise and/or the population (s) you were representing?
Did you receive a copy of the draft plan?
Did you review the draft plan? Yes No
Do you feel the primary needs were addressed in draft plan? Yes No
Did you offer feedback on draft plan? Yes No
Did you receive a copy of the state's final plan prior to submission to OVW?
Were you satisfied that the plan included any issues you may have asked be included? Yes No
If no, please explain: The tribes in Southwest coursels have
not really been a focus for date or services
so being a part of this group will be helfful in those aspects. He appreciate the coursewation.
Mantel S Clone, Director 1 CM iet Judge Signature
Dated: 2/27/17

State/Territory: Colorado
Administering Agency: Office for Victims Programs, Division of Criminal Justice
Collaborating Agency: Office of the District Affor new, 12th Judicial
Category of collaborating agency (e.g., victim service provider, law enforcement, tribal, etc.): 1000 enforcement / prosecution
Planning Team Meeting Date(s): 9/23/2016; 11/20/2016
Please answer the following questions:
Did you participate in planning meeting (please circle one): Yes No
If so, did you participate X In-person attendance Via conference call
Did you have adequate opportunity to discuss the concerns or issues impacting your area of expertise and/or the population (s) you were representing?
Did you receive a copy of the draft plan? Yes No
Did you review the draft plan? Yes No
Do you feel the primary needs were addressed in draft plan? Yes No
Did you offer feedback on draft plan? Yes No
Did you receive a copy of the state's final plan prior to submission Yes No to OVW?
Were you satisfied that the plan included any issues you may have asked be included? No
If no, please explain:
Alto
Signature
5-3-1-14 11 11 1

State/Territory: Colorado
Administering Agency: Office for Victims Programs, Division of Criminal Justice
Collaborating Agency: Family Tree, Inc.
Category of collaborating agency (e.g., victim service provider, law enforcement, tribal, etc.): Victim Service Provider
Planning Team Meeting Date(s):9/23/2016; 11/20/2016
Please answer the following questions:
Did you participate in planning meeting (please circle one): Yes No
If so, did you participate X In-person attendance Via conference call
Did you have adequate opportunity to discuss the concerns or issues impacting your area of expertise and/or the population (s) you were representing?
Did you receive a copy of the draft plan? Yes No
Did you review the draft plan? Yes No
Do you feel the primary needs were addressed in draft plan? Yes No
Did you offer feedback on draft plan? Yes No
Did you receive a copy of the state's final plan prior to submission to OVW?
Were you satisfied that the plan included any issues you may have asked be included? Yes No
If no, please explain:
Dis Lites
Signature Dated: 2/10/2017
Dated: 3/10/30/7

DOCUMENTATION OF COLLABORATION
Implementation Planning Process

,	
	sase explain:
oN (seY)	ed be included?
	u satisfied that the plan included any issues you may
o _N (sə _X) u	i de la constant de l
N (sex)	receive a copy of the state's final plan prior to submission
V (sex	offer feedback on draft plan?
oN (səX)	feel the primary needs were addressed in draft plan?
oN (səX)	review the draft plan?
o _N sə _X	receive a copy of the draft plan?
ere Ves No	have adequate opportunity to discuss the concerns or iss ng your area of expertise and/or the population (s) you w ting?
Via conference call	f so, did you participate In-person attendance
Ves Vo	participate in planning meeting (please circle one):
	mswer the following questions:
	g Team Meeting Date(s): 9/23/2016; 11/20/2016
law enforcement, tribal, e	ry of collaborating agency (e.g., victim service provider,
	orating Agency:
Solish C Infilling To Hotel	istering Agency: Office for Victims Programs, Div

Dated: 3-35-30

State/Territory: Colorado
Administering Agency: Office for Victims Programs, Division of Criminal Justice
Collaborating Agency: Denver DV Coordinating Council/Denver Metro DV Fatality Review
Category of collaborating agency (e.g., victim service provider, law enforcement, tribal, etc.): DV Fatality Review
Planning Team Meeting Date(s):9/23/2016; 11/20/2016
Please answer the following questions:
Did you participate in planning meeting (please circle one): Yes No
If so, did you participate X In-person attendance Via conference call
Did you have adequate opportunity to discuss the concerns or issues impacting your area of expertise and/or the population (s) you were representing?
Did you receive a copy of the draft plan? Yes No
Did you review the draft plan? Yes No
Do you feel the primary needs were addressed in draft plan? Yes No
Did you offer feedback on draft plan? Yes No
Did you receive a copy of the state's final plan prior to submission to OVW?
Were you satisfied that the plan included any issues you may have asked be included? Yes No
If no please explain: In Still very dis appointed that D. V. Intalit leview has sur little be source sugart from DOTS in Co
Signature
Datadi 25 28 17

State/Territory: Colorado
Administering Agency: Office for Victims Programs, Division of Criminal Justice
Collaborating Agency: Straticial DH's offering
Category of collaborating agency (e.g., victim service provider, law enforcement, tribal, etc.):
Planning Team Meeting Date(s): 9/23/2016; 11/20/2016
Please answer the following questions:
Did you participate in planning meeting (please circle one): Yes No
If so, did you participate In-person attendance Via conference call
Did you have adequate opportunity to discuss the concerns or issues impacting your area of expertise and/or the population (s) you were representing?
Did you receive a copy of the draft plan? Yes No
Did you review the draft plan? Yes No
Do you feel the primary needs were addressed in draft plan? Yes No
Did you offer feedback on draft plan? Yes No
Did you receive a copy of the state's final plan prior to submission to OVW?
Were you satisfied that the plan included any issues you may have asked be included? No
If no, please explain:
Signature Signature
D. 1 2 14 10

State/Territory: Colorado
Administering Agency: Office for Victims Programs, Division of Criminal Justice
Collaborating Agency: District Attorney's Office, 1st Judicial District
Category of collaborating agency (e.g., victim service provider, law enforcement, tribal, etc.):
Planning Team Meeting Date(s):9/23/2016; 11/20/2016
Please answer the following questions:
Did you participate in planning meeting (please circle one):
If so, did you participate \(\sum_{\text{in-person attendance}} \) Via conference call
Did you have adequate opportunity to discuss the concerns or issues impacting your area of expertise and/or the population (s) you were representing?
Did you receive a copy of the draft plan?
Did you review the draft plan?
Do you feel the primary needs were addressed in draft plan? No Yes No
Did you offer feedback on draft plan?
Did you receive a copy of the state's final plan prior to submission to OVW?
Were you satisfied that the plan included any issues you may have asked be included?
If no, please explain:
Can WA
Signature
Dated: 227-17

State/Territory: Colorado		
Administering Agency: Office for Victims Programs, Division of Co		
Collaborating Agency: Colorado Judicial Division of Probation	n Sen	rices
Category of collaborating agency (e.g., victim service provider, law enforcements)	cement,	tribal, etc.):
Planning Team Meeting Date(s): 9/23/2016; 11/20/2016		
Please answer the following questions:		
Did you participate in planning meeting (please circle one):	Yes	No
If so, did you participate K In-person attendance Via co	onferenc	e call
Did you have adequate opportunity to discuss the concerns or issues impacting your area of expertise and/or the population (s) you were representing?	Yes	No
Did you receive a copy of the draft plan?	Yes	No
Did you review the draft plan?	Yes	No
Do you feel the primary needs were addressed in draft plan?	Ves	No
Did you offer feedback on draft plan?	Yes	No
Did you receive a copy of the state's final plan prior to submission to OVW?	Yes	No
Were you satisfied that the plan included any issues you may have asked be included?	Yes	No
If no, please explain:		
Signature 2/21/17		
2/2//17		

State/Territory: Colorado
Administering Agency: Office for Victims Programs, Division of Criminal Justice
Collaborating Agency: Office of the Colorado State Court Administrator
Category of collaborating agency (e.g., victim service provider, law enforcement, tribal, etc.): courts
Planning Team Meeting Date(s): 9/23/2016; 11/20/2016
Please answer the following questions:
Did you participate in planning meeting (please circle one): Yes No
If so, did you participate In-person attendance Via conference call
Did you have adequate opportunity to discuss the concerns or issues impacting your area of expertise and/or the population (s) you were representing?
Did you receive a copy of the draft plan? Yes No
Did you review the draft plan? Yes No
Do you feel the primary needs were addressed in draft plan? Yes No
Did you offer feedback on draft plan? Yes No
Did you receive a copy of the state's final plan prior to submission to OVW?
Were you satisfied that the plan included any issues you may have asked be included? Yes No
If no, please explain:
Signature Signature

Implementation Planning Process **DOCUMENTATION OF COLLABORATION**

State/Territory: Colorado	
Administering Agency: Office for Victims Programs, Division of	Criminal Justice
Collaborating Agency: Englewood Police Department	
Category of collaborating agency (e.g., victim service provider, law en	forcement, tribal, etc.):
Planning Team Meeting Date(s):9/23/2016; 11/20/2016	
Please answer the following questions:	
Did you participate in planning meeting (please circle one):	Yes No
If so, did you participate XX_ In-person attendance Vi	a conference call
Did you have adequate opportunity to discuss the concerns or issues impacting your area of expertise and/or the population (s) you were representing?	Yes No
Did you receive a copy of the draft plan?	Yes No
Did you review the draft plan?	Yes No
Do you feel the primary needs were addressed in draft plan?	Yes No
Did you offer feedback on draft plan?	Yes No
Did you receive a copy of the state's final plan prior to submission to OVW?	Yes No
Were you satisfied that the plan included any issues you may have asked be included?	Yes No
If no, please explain:	

Signature

Dated: 2/27/2017

State/Territory: Colorado
Administering Agency: Office for Victims Programs, Division of Criminal Justice
Collaborating Agency: Advocate Safehouse Project
Category of collaborating agency (e.g., victim service provider, law enforcement, tribal, etc.): Rural Vicitm Services Program
Planning Team Meeting Date(s): 9/23/2016; 11/20/2016
Please answer the following questions:
Did you participate in planning meeting (please circle one): Yes No
If so, did you participate In-person attendance Via conference call
Did you have adequate opportunity to discuss the concerns or issues impacting your area of expertise and/or the population (s) you were representing?
Did you receive a copy of the draft plan? Yes No
Did you review the draft plan? Yes No
Do you feel the primary needs were addressed in draft plan? Yes No
Did you offer feedback on draft plan? Yes No
Did you receive a copy of the state's final plan prior to submission to OVW?
Were you satisfied that the plan included any issues you may have asked be included? Yes No
If no, please explain:
Signature $\frac{2}{13}$

State/Territory: Colorado
Administering Agency: Office for Victims Programs, Division of Criminal Justice
Collaborating Agency: Colorado District Attorneys' Council
Category of collaborating agency (e.g., victim service provider, law enforcement, tribal, etc.): Statewide prosecutor organization
Planning Team Meeting Date(s): 9/23/2016; 11/20/2016
Please answer the following questions:
Did you participate in planning meeting (please circle one): Yes No
If so, did you participate In-person attendance Via conference call
Did you have adequate opportunity to discuss the concerns or issues impacting your area of expertise and/or the population (s) you were representing?
Did you receive a copy of the draft plan? Yes No
Did you review the draft plan? Yes No
Do you feel the primary needs were addressed in draft plan? Yes No
Did you offer feedback on draft plan? Yes No
Did you receive a copy of the state's final plan prior to submission to OVW?
Were you satisfied that the plan included any issues you may have asked be included? Yes No
If no, please explain:
Zinda Johnston
Signature

State/Territory: Colorado
Administering Agency: Office for Victims Programs, Division of Criminal Justice
Collaborating Agency: DENVER CITY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE
Category of collaborating agency (e.g., victim service provider, law enforcement, tribal, etc.): PROSECUTION & VICTIM SERVICE PROVIDER
Planning Team Meeting Date(s): 9/23/2016; 11/20/2016
Please answer the following questions:
Did you participate in planning meeting (please circle one): Yes No
If so, did you participate Via conference call
Did you have adequate opportunity to discuss the concerns or issues impacting your area of expertise and/or the population (s) you were representing?
Did you receive a copy of the draft plan? Yes No
Did you review the draft plan? Yes No
Do you feel the primary needs were addressed in draft plan? Yes No
Did you offer feedback on draft plan? Yes No
Did you receive a copy of the state's final plan prior to submission to OVW?
Were you satisfied that the plan included any issues you may have asked be included? Yes No
If no, please explain:
Suien See Petrt
Signature

State/Territory: Colorado		
Administering Agency: Office for Victims Programs, Division	of Criminal	Justice
Collaborating Agency: UC Health-Memorial Hospital		
Category of collaborating agency (e.g., victim service provider, law e Healthcare	enforcement	, tribal, etc.):
Planning Team Meeting Date(s): 9/23/2016; 11/20/2016		
Please answer the following questions:		
Did you participate in planning meeting (please circle one):	Yes	No
If so, did you participate In-person attendance	Via conferen	ce call
Did you have adequate opportunity to discuss the concerns or issues impacting your area of expertise and/or the population (s) you were representing?	Yes	No
Did you receive a copy of the draft plan?	Yes	No
Did you review the draft plan?	Yes	No
Do you feel the primary needs were addressed in draft plan?	Yes	No
Did you offer feedback on draft plan?	Yes	No
Did you receive a copy of the state's final plan prior to submission to OVW?	Yes	No
Were you satisfied that the plan included any issues you may have asked be included?	Yes	No
If no, please explain:NA		
Megan Lechner Signature		
Dated: 3/10/17		

State/Territory: Colorado
Administering Agency: Office for Victims Programs, Division of Criminal Justice
Collaborating Agency: Colorado Coalition Against Domestic Violence
Category of collaborating agency (e.g., victim service provider, law enforcement, tribal, etc.): State Domestic Violence Coalition
Planning Team Meeting Date(s): 9/23/2016; 11/20/2016
Please answer the following questions:
Did you participate in planning meeting (please circle one): Yes No
If so, did you participate _X _ In-person attendance Via conference call
Did you have adequate opportunity to discuss the concerns or issues impacting your area of expertise and/or the population (s) you were representing?
Did you receive a copy of the draft plan? Yes No
Did you review the draft plan? Yes No
Do you feel the primary needs were addressed in draft plan? Yes No
Did you offer feedback on draft plan? Yes No
Did you receive a copy of the state's final plan prior to submission Yes No to OVW?
Were you satisfied that the plan included any issues you may have asked be included? Yes No
If no, please explain:
Jac lesomer Signature
Dated: 03/01/2017

State/Territory: Colorado
Administering Agency: Office for Victims Programs, Division of Criminal Justice
Collaborating Agency: Ut. Mountain 44. Trule
Category of collaborating agency (e.g., victim service provider, law enforcement, tribal, etc.):
Planning Team Meeting Date(s): 9/23/2016; 11/20/2016
Please answer the following questions:
Did you participate in planning meeting (please circle one): Yes No
If so, did you participate In-person attendance Via conference call
Did you have adequate opportunity to discuss the concerns or issues impacting your area of expertise and/or the population (s) you were representing?
Did you receive a copy of the draft plan? No
Did you review the draft plan?
Do you feel the primary needs were addressed in draft plan? No
Did you offer feedback on draft plan? Yes No
Did you receive a copy of the state's final plan prior to submission (Yes No to OVW?
Were you satisfied that the plan included any issues you may have asked be included? No
If no, please explain:
Signature

State/Territory: Colorado		
Administering Agency: Office for Victims Programs, Division of C	Crimina	l Justice
Collaborating Agency: Servicios De La Raza		
Category of collaborating agency (e.g., victim service provider, law enfo culturally-specific organization		
Planning Team Meeting Date(s): 9/23/2016; 11/20/2016		***
Please answer the following questions:		
Did you participate in planning meeting (please circle one):	Yes	No
If so, did you participate In-person attendance Via	conferer	ice call
Did you have adequate opportunity to discuss the concerns or issues impacting your area of expertise and/or the population (s) you were representing?	Yes	No
Did you receive a copy of the draft plan?	Yes	No
Did you review the draft plan?	Yes	No
Do you feel the primary needs were addressed in draft plan?	Yes	No
Did you offer feedback on draft plan?	Yes	No
Did you receive a copy of the state's final plan prior to submission to OVW?	Yes	No
Were you satisfied that the plan included any issues you may have asked be included?	Yes	No
If no, please explain:		
Signature		
Dated: 2/14/2017		

State/Territory: Colorado		
Administering Agency: Office for Victims Programs, Division of	Criminal	Justice
Collaborating Agency: Colorado Dept. of Public 1		
Category of collaborating agency (e.g., victim service provider, law enforcements)	orcement	, tribal, etc.):
Planning Team Meeting Date(s): 9/23/2016; 11/20/2016		
Please answer the following questions:		
Did you participate in planning meeting (please circle one):	Yes	No
If so, did you participate Via	conferen	ce call
Did you have adequate opportunity to discuss the concerns or issues impacting your area of expertise and/or the population (s) you were representing?	Yes	No
Did you receive a copy of the draft plan?	Yes	No
Did you review the draft plan?	Yes	No
Do you feel the primary needs were addressed in draft plan?	Yes	No
Did you offer feedback on draft plan?	Yes	No
Did you receive a copy of the state's final plan prior to submission to OVW?	Yes	No
Were you satisfied that the plan included any issues you may have asked be included?	Yes	No
If no, please explain:		y e
Smei Kuero		
Signature		
Dated AITIT		


					STOP F	Plannin	g Comr	nittee Pa	rticipati	ion Log																	
Planning Committee Member Name	Statutary Catalogue	Other Entity Type	Contact	Other Contact	Attempted Contact Date(s)		Response Date	Participation	Double to the all	Participation Opportunity #2	Posticiant of 3	Participation Opportunity #3	Participated	Other Participation	Dantinian to d	South Danfé ID2	Submitted Comments on	Comments and	t Concerns in	Received Final	Received Documentation of Collaboration Form?	Returned Documentation of Collaboration Form?	Title	Organization/Agency	Address	Ph. #	Email
ngie Juarez-Monger	Tribal gov't		Wethou		(in/dd/yy)	Responded:	(in/dd/yy)		rarticipateu:			In-person planning com'ee meeting	Yes		ratticipateu:	Yes	No No	Dialetr	Fillal Flail:	Yes	Yes	No	Court Program Analyst/Grant Writer	Southern Ute Tribal Court	P. O. Box 737 Ignacio, CO 81137	F11. W	aimonger@southernute- nsn.gov
arbara Donica Pevny, IA, LPC	Tribal gov't	Family Court Support Office	Email	through Judge Cloud			8/23/16	Online or paper survey	Yes	planning com'ee meeting	Yes	In-person planning com'ee meeting	Yes	In-person Meeting on Southern Ute Reservation	Yes	Yes	No			Yes	Yes	Yes	Family Court Therapist	Family Court Support Office	P. O. Box 737 Ignacio, CO 81137		bpevny@southernute-nsn.go
rielyn Franklin	State sexual assault coalition		Email		6/8/16	Yes	6/8/16	Online or paper survey	Yes	planning com'ee meeting	Yes	In-person planning com'ee meeting	Yes			Yes	No			Yes	Yes	Yes	Executive Director	Colorado Coalition Against Sexual Assault	PO Box 40350 Denver, CO 80204	(303) 839-9999	director@ccasa.org
		Domestic Violence Program Director/FVPSA						Online or paper		In-person planning com'ee		In-person planning											Domestic Violence	Colorado Department of	1575 Sherman Street, Denver CO		
rooke Ely-Milen	Other entity	Administrator	Email		6/13/16	Yes	6/13/16	survey	Yes	meeting	Yes	com'ee meeting	Yes			Yes	No	<u> </u>		Yes	Yes	Yes	Program Director	Human Services	80203		brooke.elymilen@state.co.us
armen Murillo-Steven	s Population specific org	-	Email			ļ				planning com'ee meeting	Yes	In-person planning com'ee meeting	Yes			Yes	No			Yes	Yes	Yes	Immigrant Advocate	San Luis Valley Immigrant Resource Center	PO BOX 1534 Alamosa, CO 81101	719-587-3225 ext. 13	carmen@slvirc.org
hief John Collins	Law enforcement		Email		6/8/16	Yes	6/13/16	Online or paper survey	Yes	planning com'ee meeting	Yes	In-person planning com'ee meeting	No			Yes	No			Yes	Yes	Yes	Chief	Englewood Police Department	Englewood, CO 80110	303-783-6946	jcollins@englewoodgov.org
rista Newmyer-Olson	Prosecution		Email		7/25/16			Online or paper survey	Yes	planning com'ee meeting	Yes	In-person planning com'ee meeting	Yes			Yes	No			Yes	Yes	Yes	District Attorney	District Attorney's Office – 12th J.D.	Alamosa, CO		cnewmyer- olsen@da12.state.co.us
leb Bittner	Victim service provider		Email		6/13/16	Yes	6/14/16	Online or paper survey	Yes	planning com'ee meeting	No	In-person planning com'ee meeting	Yes			Yes	No			Yes	Yes	Yes	Division Director	Family Tree, Inc Domestic Violence Services		303.420.0412	DBittner@thefamilytree.org
										In-person														Advocates Against Battering &	PO Box 771424 Steamboat Springs,		
iane T. Moore	Victim service provider		Email		6/8/16	Yes	7/20/16	Online or paper survey	No	planning com'ee meeting	No	In-person planning com'ee meeting	No			Yes	No			Yes	Yes	Yes	Executive Director	Abuse	CO 80477	(970) 879-2034	diane@advocatesaba.org
					5,5,55		17-57-5			In-person																(0.070.000	
Dora-Lee Larson	Other entity	DV Fatality Review	Email		6/13/16	Yes	6/13/16	Online or paper survey	No	planning com'ee meeting	Yes	In-person planning com'ee meeting	Yes			Yes	No			Yes	Yes	Yes	Project Director	Denver Domestic Violence Council			denverdvcouncil@gmail.com
					5, -5, -5		10/20/20																,		201 La Porte Avenue, Suite 200		
								Online or paper		In-person planning com'ee		In-person planning												District Attorney's Office, 8th	Fort Collins, CO 80521-2763		
Emily Humphrey	Prosecution		Email		6/8/16	Yes	6/8/16	survey	Yes	meeting	Yes	com'ee meeting	No			Yes	No			Yes	Yes	Yes	Deputy District Attorney			(970) 498-7163	humphreh@co.larimer.co.us
								Online or paper		In-person planning com'ee		In-person planning												Colorado Commission of Indian	130 State Capitol		
Ernest House Jr.	Tribal gov't		Email		5/17/16;6/8/16	Yes	6/14/16	survey	No	meeting	No	com'ee meeting	No			Yes	No			Yes	Yes	No	Executive Director	Affairs	Denver, CO 80203 500 Jefferson	303.866.5470	ernest.house@state.co.us
								Online or paper		In-person planning com'ee		In-person planning											Chief Deputy District	District Attorney's Office – 1 st J.D.	County Parkway Golden, CO 80401		
Eva Wilson	Prosecution		Email	Referred	6/8/16	Yes	7/14/16	survey	Yes	meeting In-person	Yes	com'ee meeting	Yes			Yes	No			Yes	Yes	Yes	Attorney			(303) 271-6815	eewilson@jeffco.us
Evageline Gray	Tribal gov't	Family Court Support Office	Email	through Judge Cloud			8/23/16	Online or paper survey	Yes	planning com'ee meeting	Yes	In-person planning com'ee meeting	Yes	In-person Meeting on Southern Ute Reservation	Yes	Yes	No			Yes	Yes	Yes	Community Response Coordinator	Southern Ute Indian Tribal Court	P. O. Box 737 Ignacio, CO 81137	(970)563-0268	egray@southernute-nsn.gov
																									Ralph L. Carr Judicial Center		
										In-person														State Court Administrator's Office	1300 Broadway, Suite 1100 Denver,		
lalice Vigil	Other entity	State and Local Courts	s Email		6/8/16	Yes	6/14/16	Online or paper survey	Yes	planning com'ee meeting	Yes	In-person planning com'ee meeting	Yes			Yes	No			Yes	Yes	Yes	Probation Analyst - Program Support Unit	Division of Probation Services	CO 80203	(720) 625-5759	jalice.vigil@judicial.state.co.u
								Online or paper		In-person planning com'ee		In-person planning												Office of the Colorado State	1300 Broadway, Suite 1200 Denver,		jennifer.mendoza@judicial.sta
Jennifer Mendoza	Other entity	State and Local Courts	s Email		6/13/16	Yes	6/13/16	Online or paper	No	meeting planning com'ee	Yes	com'ee meeting In-person planning	No	In-person Meeting on		Yes	No			Yes	Yes	Yes	Judicial Educator	Court Administrator	CO 80203	(720)-625-5812	e.co.us
loAnn Gomez	Tribal gov't		Email		6/8/16	Yes	6/8/16	Survey Online or paper	No	meeting planning com'ee	Yes	com'ee meeting In-person planning	No	Southern Ute Reservation In-person Meeting on	Yes	Yes	No			Yes	Yes	No					jgomez@southernute-nsn.gov
Judge Chantel Cloud	Tribal gov't		Email	1	5/17/16;6/8/16	Yes		survey	No	meeting	No	com'ee meeting	Yes	Southern Ute Reservation	Yes	Yes	No			Yes	Yes	Yes	Judge	Souther Ute Tribe	P.O. Box 2036		ccloud@southern-ute.nsn.us
								Online or paper		In-person planning com'ee		In-person planning													Glenwood Springs, CO 81602	(970) 928-2070	
Julie Olson	Victim service provider		Email	1	6/8/16	Yes	6/9/16	survey	No	meeting In-person	No	com'ee meeting	Yes			Yes	No	1		Yes	Yes	Yes	Executive Director	Advocate Safehouse Project Colorado District Attorney's	1580 Logan St. Ste		julie@advocatesafehouse.org
Linda Johnson	Prosecution		Email		6/13/16	Yes	6/13/16	Online or paper survey	Yes	planning com'ee meeting	Yes	In-person planning com'ee meeting	Yes			Yes	Yes	Found statistical error	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Project Director	Council - Ending Violence Against Women Project	420 Denver, CO 80203	303.830.9115	linda@cdac.state.co.us
										In-person													Victim and Community	Prosecution and Code	201 W. Colfax		
Linda Loflin Pettit	Prosecution		Email		6/13/16	Yes	6/13/16	Online or paper survey	Yes	planning com'ee meeting	Yes	In-person planning com'ee meeting	Yes			Yes	No			Yes	Yes	Yes	Outreach Program Manager	Enforcement Section City and County of Denver	Avenue, Dept. 1207 Denver, CO 80202	720.913.4979	Linda.LoflinPettit@denvergov rg
Lisa Manzanares	Tribal gov't		Email		6/8/16	Yes	6/8/16	Online or paper survey	No	planning com'ee meeting	Yes	In-person planning com'ee meeting	No	In-person Meeting on Southern Ute Reservation	Yes	Yes	No			Yes	Yes	No	Program Coordinator	Southern Ute Victim Services			manzana@southernute- nsn.gov
Lori Hubert	Tribal gov't		Email		6/8/16			Online or paper survey	No	planning com'ee meeting	No	In-person planning com'ee meeting	No			Yes	No			Yes	Yes	No	DV Prevention Worker	Ute Mountain Ute Tribe			Ihubert@utemountain.org
										In-person														Forensic Nurse Examiner Team Emergency Department			
Megan Lechner	Victim service provider		Email		6/13/16	Yes	6/13/16	Online or paper survey	No	planning com'ee meeting	Yes	In-person planning com'ee meeting	Yes			Yes	No			Yes	Yes	Yes	Manager	Memorial Hospital, part of University of Colorado Health		719-365-8544	megan.lechner@uchealth.org
										In-person															1330 Fox Street, Suite 3		
Pat Tessmer	State domestic violence coalition		Email					Online or paper survey	Yes	planning com'ee meeting	Yes	In-person planning com'ee meeting	Yes			Yes	No			Yes	Yes	Yes	Program Director	Colorado Coalition Against Domestic Violence	P.O. Box 40328	303-962-3321	ptessmer@ccadv.org
Shemeah Richardson	Tribal gov't		Email		6/8/16	Yes	6/9/16	Online or paper survey	Yes	planning com'ee meeting	Yes	In-person planning com'ee meeting	Yes			Yes	No			Yes	Yes	Yes	UMUT Social Services Director	Ute Mountain Ute Tribe			srichardson@utemountain.org
Sheriff Tony Spurlock	Law enforcement		Email		6/8/16	Yes	6/8/16	Online or paper survey	No	planning com'ee meeting	No	In-person planning com'ee meeting	No			Yes	No			Yes	Yes	No	Sheriff	Douglas County Sheriff			TSpurloc@dcsheriff.net
com rony spuriock	caw emorcement		Lindii		0/0/10	163	0/0/10	Julycy	lieo.	meeting	1.40	com ee meeting	lino	_1	_1	II. es	1.40		<u> </u>	1.63	1,63	1640	ancilli	Douglas County Stieffill	II	<u> </u>	- Spanoce acsilenti.net

Silvia Gonsalez	Underserved pop. rep.		Email	6/13/16	Yes	6/13/16	Online or paper survey		In-person planning com'ee meeting	No	In-person planning com'ee meeting	No		Yes	Yes	Found statistical error Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	VISTAS Program Director		3131 W 14th Ave. Denver, CO 80204	(303) 953-5950	SilviaG@serviciosdelaraza.org
Tanya Amrine, MA	Tribal gov't		Email	5/17/16;6/8/16	Yes		Online or paper survey	No	planning com'ee meeting	No	In-person planning com'ee meeting	No		Yes	No		Yes	Yes	No	Education Division Director	Ute Mountain Ute Tribe		971.564.5355; 970.560.4311	tamrine@utemountain.org
Tomei Keuhl	Other entity	Interpersonal Violence Prevention Unit	Email	6/13/16	Yes	6/13/16	Online or paper survey		In-person planning com'ee meeting	Yes	In-person planning com'ee meeting	No		Yes	No		Yes	Yes		Interpersonal Violence Prevention Unit Supervisor	Colorado Department of Public	4300 Cherry Creek Drive South, Denver CO 80246	303.692.2 <u>049</u>	tomei.kuehl@state.co.us

			T	ribal Con	sultatic	on Workshe	eet									
					Does Initial Contact											
					Represent a Shelter or											
					Serve as a											Recommended Possible
Federally- Recognized Tribe	Initial Contact Name	How Initial Contact was Identified & Why Selected	Title	Tribal Division or Organization	Victim Advocate?	Email	Outreach Method #1	Date (m/dd/yy)	Response to #1	Outreach Method #2	Date (m/dd/yy)	Response to #2	Outreach Method #3	Date (m/ddyy)	Response to #3	Planning Committee Member Name
									Responded						Requested to visit them in their area to	
									providing the names of two						talking more about their unique needs;	
									additional						were unavailable but	Shemeah Richardson,
		Referred to her through OAJJA Unit Manager, Meg	Education Division	Ute Mountain Ute		tamrine@utemountain.			contacts for the Planning						we are working on visting them in	UMUT Social Services Director; Lori Hubert, DV
Ute Mountain Ute	Tanya Amrine, MA	Williams	Director	Tribe		org	Email	5/17/16	Committee	Email	6/8/16		Email	12/13/2016	Summer 2017 Visited with the	Prevention Worker
									December 4 - 4						Southern Ute on	
		Referred to her through							Responded confirming						March 9, 2017 in Ignacio, CO; plans to	
Southern Ute	Judge Chantel Cloud	OAJJA Unit Manager, Meg Williams	Judge	Southern Ute		ccloud@southern- ute.nsn.us	Email	5/17/16	received initial contact	Email	6/8/16		Email	12/13/2016	return in Summer 2017	
								5,5,7,5		1	373722	Interested				
		Referred to her through		Colorado								in serving on				
	Ernest House, Jr.	OAJJA Unit Manager, Meg Williams	Executive Director	Commission on Indian Affairs		ernest.house@state.co. us	Email	5/17/16				Planning Group	Email			
															Requested to visit them in their area to	
															talking more about their unique needs;	
									Interested in						were unavailable but	
			UMUT Social Services	UMUT Social		srichardson@utemount			serving on Planning						we are working on visting them in	
Ute Mountain Ute	Shemeah Richardson	Through Tanya Amrine	Director	Services		ain.org	Email	6/8/16					Email		Summer 2017 Requested to visit	
															them in their area to	
															talking more about their unique needs;	
															were unavailable but we are working on	
L						lhubert@utemountain.o		6/0/46							visting them in	
Ute Mountain Ute	Lori Hubert	Through Tanya Amrine	DV Prevention Worker		Yes	rg	Email	6/8/16					Email	12/13/2016	Summer 2017 Visited with the	
									Interested in						Southern Ute on March 9, 2017 in	
						Imanzana@southernute			serving on Planning						Ignacio, CO; plans to return in Summer	
Southern Ute	Lisa Manzanares	Through Judge Cloud			Yes	nsn.gov	Email	6/8/16	Group				Email	12/13/2016	2017	
															Visited with the Southern Ute on	
									Interested in serving on						March 9, 2017 in Ignacio, CO; plans to	
Country		The search to do 200			V	igomez@southernute-	F"		Planning				F"		return in Summer	
Southern Ute	JoAnn Gomez	Through Judge Cloud			Yes	nsn.gov	Email	6/8/16	Group	1			Email	12/13/2016	Visited with the	
									Attending						Southern Ute on March 9, 2017 in	
	Barbara Donica			Family Court		hnevny@southornut?			Implentation Planning						Ignacio, CO; plans to return in Summer	
Southern Ute	Pevny, MA, LPC	Through Judge Cloud	Family Court Therapist			bpevny@southernute- nsn.gov	Email		Meeting				Email	12/13/2016	2017	
															Visited with the Southern Ute on	
									Attending Implentation						March 9, 2017 in Ignacio, CO; plans to	
				Family Court		egray@southernute-			Planning						return in Summer	
Southern Ute	Evageline Gray	Through Judge Cloud	Coordinator	Support Office	Yes	nsn.gov	Email	8/23/16	Meeting				Email	12/13/2016	2017	

												Visited with the	
												Southern Ute on	
							.	Attended 2nd				March 9, 2017 in	
								Implementatio				Ignacio, CO; plans to	
		Court Program	Southern Ute		ajmonger@southernute-			n Planning				return in Summer	
Southern Ute	Angie Juarez-Monger	Analyst/Grant Writer	Tribal Court	No	nsn.gov	Email :	11/10/16	Meeting	E	mail	12/13/2016	2017	

Population Density



Attachment G
11/28/2016 Colorado

Census

/www.census.gov/en.html)

U.S. Department of Commerce (//www.commerce.gov/) | Blogs (//www.census.gov/about/contact-us/social_media.html) | Index A-Z (//www.census.gov/about/index.html) | Glossa (//www.census.gov/glossary/) | FAQs (//ask.census.gov

Search

U.S. Census Quick Facts

QuickFacts

Colorado

QuickFacts provides statistics for all states and counties, and for cities and towns with a *population of 5,000 or more*.

All Topics ▼	COLORADO
People	
Population	E 450 574
Population estimates, July 1, 2015, (V2015) Population estimates base, April 1, 2010, (V2015)	5,456,574 5,029,324
Population, percent change - April 1, 2010 (estimates base) to July 1, 2015, (V2015)	8.5%
Population, Census, April 1, 2010	5,029,196
Age and Sex	, ,
Persons under 5 years, percent, July 1, 2015, (V2015)	6.2%
Persons under 5 years, percent, April 1, 2010	6.8%
Persons under 18 years, percent, July 1, 2015, (V2015)	23.0%
Persons under 18 years, percent, April 1, 2010	24.4%
Persons 65 years and over, percent, July 1, 2015, (V2015)	13.0%
Persons 65 years and over, percent, April 1, 2010	10.9% 49.7%
Female persons, percent, July 1, 2015, (V2015) Female persons, percent, April 1, 2010	49.7%
Race and Hispanic Origin	43.370
White alone, percent, July 1, 2015, (V2015) (a)	87.5%
White alone, percent, April 1, 2010 (a)	81.3%
Black or African American alone, percent, July 1, 2015, (V2015) (a)	4.5%
Black or African American alone, percent, April 1, 2010 (a)	4.0%
American Indian and Alaska Native alone, percent, July 1, 2015, (V2015) (a)	1.6%
American Indian and Alaska Native alone, percent, April 1, 2010 (a)	1.1%
Asian alone, percent, July 1, 2015, (V2015) (a)	3.2%
Asian alone, percent, April 1, 2010 (a)	2.8%
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander alone, percent, July 1, 2015, (V2015) (a)	0.2%
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander alone, percent, April 1, 2010 (a) Two or More Races, percent, July 1, 2015, (V2015)	0.1% 2.9%
Two or More Races, percent, 3dly 1, 2010	3.4%
Hispanic or Latino, percent, July 1, 2015, (V2015) (b)	21.3%
Hispanic or Latino, percent, April 1, 2010 (b)	20.7%
White alone, not Hispanic or Latino, percent, July 1, 2015, (V2015)	68.7%
White alone, not Hispanic or Latino, percent, April 1, 2010	70.0%
Population Characteristics	
Veterans, 2010-2014	395,007
Foreign born persons, percent, 2010-2014	9.8%
Housing	0.000.000
Housing units, July 1, 2015, (V2015)	2,309,228
Housing units, April 1, 2010 Owner-occupied housing unit rate, 2010-2014	2,212,898 64.8%
Median value of owner-occupied housing units, 2010-2014	\$239,400
Median selected monthly owner costs -with a mortgage, 2010-2014	\$1,608
Median selected monthly owner costs -without a mortgage, 2010-2014	\$417
Median gross rent, 2010-2014	\$969
Building permits, 2015	31,871
Families and Living Arrangements	
Households, 2010-2014	1,998,314
Persons per household, 2010-2014	2.54
Living in same house 1 year ago, percent of persons age 1 year+, 2010-2014 Language other than English spoken at home, percent of persons age 5 years+, 2010-2014	80.6%
Education	16.9%
High school graduate or higher, percent of persons age 25 years+, 2010-2014	90.4%
Bachelor's degree or higher, percent of persons age 25 years+, 2010-2014	37.5%
Health	
With a disability, under age 65 years, percent, 2010-2014	7.2%
Persons without health insurance, under age 65 years, percent	▲ 9.2%
Economy	
In civilian labor force, total, percent of population age 16 years+, 2010-2014	67.9%
In civilian labor force, female, percent of population age 16 years+, 2010-2014	62.9%
Total accommodation and food services sales, 2012 (\$1,000) (c)	13,617,654
Total health care and social assistance receipts/revenue, 2012 (\$1,000) (c) Total manufacturers shipments, 2012 (\$1,000) (c)	29,488,161
Total manufacturers snipments, 2012 (\$1,000) (c) Total merchant wholesaler sales, 2012 (\$1,000) (c)	50,447,098 77,034,971
Total retail sales, 2012 (\$1,000) (c)	67,815,200
The second of th	,,

11/28/2016	Colorado
Total retail sales per capita, 2012 (c) Transportation	\$13,073
Mean travel time to work (minutes), workers age 16 years+, 2010-2014	24.6
Income and Poverty	
Median household income (in 2014 dollars), 2010-2014	\$59,448
Per capita income in past 12 months (in 2014 dollars), 2010-2014	\$31,674
Persons in poverty, percent	1 1.5%
Businesses	
Total employer establishments, 2014	158,064 ¹
Total employment, 2014	2,181,455 ¹
Total annual payroll, 2014	112,194,052 ¹
Total employment, percent change, 2013-2014	4.3% ¹
Total nonemployer establishments, 2014	468,729
All firms, 2012	547,352
Men-owned firms, 2012	284,554
Women-owned firms, 2012	194,508
Minority-owned firms, 2012	85,849
Nonminority-owned firms, 2012	442,365
Veteran-owned firms, 2012	51,722
Nonveteran-owned firms, 2012	469,524
Geography	
Population per square mile, 2010	48.5
Land area in square miles, 2010	103,641.89
FIPS Code	08

1. Includes data not distributed by county.

▲ This geographic level of poverty and health estimates are not comparable to other geographic levels of these estimates

Some estimates presented here come from sample data, and thus have sampling errors that may render some apparent differences between geographies statistically indistinguishable. Click the Quick Info
icon to the left of each row in TABLE view to learn about sampling error.

The vintage year (e.g., V2015) refers to the final year of the series (2010 thru 2015). Different vintage years of estimates are not comparable.

- (a) Includes persons reporting only one race
- (b) Hispanics may be of any race, so also are included in applicable race categories
 (c) Economic Census Puerto Rico data are not comparable to U.S. Economic Census data
- D Suppressed to avoid disclosure of confidential information
- F Fewer than 25 firms
 FN Footnote on this item in place of data
- NA Not available
- \boldsymbol{S} Suppressed; does not meet publication standards
- X Not applicable Z Value greater than zero but less than half unit of measure shown

QuickFacts data are derived from: Population Estimates, American Community Survey, Census of Population and Housing, Current Population Survey, Small Area Health Insurance Estimates, Small Area Income and Poverty Estimates, State and County Housing Unit Estimates, County Business Patterns, Nonemployer Statistics, Economic Census, Survey of Business Owners, Building Permits.

COLORADO POPULATION ESTIMATES BY MUNICIPALITY, 2000 - 2015: RANKED BY 2015 POPULATION

PlaceFIPS	S Area	Census April 2000	SDO Est. July 2000	Census April 2010	SDO Est. July 2010	SDO Est. July 2011	SDO Est. July 2012	SDO Est. July 2013	SDO Est. July 2014	SDO Est. July 2015	SDO Est. 2015 Rank
80000	COLORADO	4,301,261	4,338,801	5,029,196	5,050,332	5,120,686	5,193,097	5,272,677	5,356,626	5,456,584	
20000	Denver	554,636	556,738	600,158	604,879	620,817	634,940	649,214	664,453	683,096	1
16000	Colorado Springs	360,890	361,901	417,335	420,716	428,112	433,748	439,340	444,708	451,585	2
04000	Aurora (Total)	276,393	278,095	325,078	326,555	332,777	339,025	344,155	350,889	357,340	3
27425	Fort Collins	118,652	120,236	143,986	144,887	146,324	149,413	152,442	156,704	160,935	4
43000	Lakewood	144,126	144,390	142,980	143,209	144,331	145,681	147,228	149,924	153,024	5
77290	Thornton (Total)	82,384	83,305	118,772	119,420	121,825	124,266	127,446	130,048	132,848	6
03455	Arvada (Total)	102,153	102,399	106,433	106,650	107,638	109,797	111,587	113,583	115,174	7
83835	Westminster (Total)	100,940	101,403	106,114	106,452	107,696	109,106	110,458	111,760	112,737	8
62000	Pueblo	102,121	102,174	106,595	106,882	107,262	107,659	107,889	108,181	109,264	9
12815	Centennial	-	101,377	100,377	101,398	102,803	104,434	106,508	107,262	108,886	10
07850	Boulder	94,673	98,747	97,385	97,928	100,234	101,468	103,169	105,051	107,291	11
32155	Greeley	76,930	78,559	92,889	93,262	94,280	95,218	96,421	98,474	101,302	12
45970	Longmont (Total)	71,093	72,424	86,270	86,442	87,535	88,853	90,317	90,899	92,633	13
46465	Loveland	50,608	51,893	66,859	67,033	69,149	70,144	71,027	72,142	74,461	14
09280	Broomfield	38,272	38,544	55,889	56,107	57,228	58,734	60,024	61,634	64,788	15
31660	Grand Junction	41,986	45,678	58,566	59,819	61,130	61,501	62,491	62,213	63,775	16
12415	Castle Rock	20,224	22,017	48,231	48,666	49,780	50,838	52,812	54,306	56,621	17
16495	Commerce City	20,991	21,194	45,913	46,194	47,555	48,571	49,753	51,588	53,364	18
57630	Parker	23,558	23,181	45,297	45,505	46,216	47,376	48,750	50,072	51,064	19
45255	Littleton (Total)	40,340	40,389	41,737	42,034	42,611	43,266	43,759	44,044	44,640	20
54330	Northglenn (Total)	31,575	32,456	35,789	35,933	36,451	36,975	37,469	38,577	39,089	21
08675	Brighton (Total)	20,905	21,326	33,352	33,511	34,112	34,725	36,298	37,021	38,085	22
24785	Englewood	31,727	31,877	30,255	30,374	30,778	31,222	31,583	32,394	32,879	23
84440	Wheat Ridge	32,913	32,843	30,166	30,207	30,353	30,750	30,920	31,137	31,210	24
27865	Fountain	15,197	15,356	25,846	25,888	26,577	27,208	28,056	28,488	28,968	25
41835	Lafayette	23,197	23,344	24,453	24,553	24,829	25,783	26,696	27,010	27,548	26
85485	Windsor (Total)	9,896	10,256	18,644	18,771	19,257	20,104	21,433	22,636	23,454	27
24950	Erie (Total)	6,291	6,694	18,135	18,291	18,948	19,583	20,019	20,643	21,484	28
30835	Golden	17,159	17,310	18,867	18,930	19,342	19,552	19,651	20,114	20,370	29
46355	Louisville	18,937	19,053	18,376	18,412	18,570	18,967	19,481	19,946	20,224	30
25280	Evans	9,514	10,448	18,537	18,651	18,956	19,428	19,709	19,481	19,933	31
51745	Montrose	12,344	13,042	19,132	19,104	18,995	18,926	18,924	18,976	19,008	32
22035	Durango	13,922	14,993	16,887	16,901	17,004	17,282	17,712	17,843	18,228	33
11810	Canon City	15,431	15,631	16,400	16,407	16,487	16,423	16,292	16,228	16,313	34
33035	Greenwood Village	11,035	11,623	13,925	13,987	14,212	14,452	14,627	15,321	16,043	35
39855	Johnstown (Total)	3,827	4,459	9,887	9,987	10,422	11,043	12,048	13,257	14,854	36
73935	Sterling	11,360	12,821	14,777	14,354	14,344	14,124	13,975	14,190	14,162	37
45955	Lone Tree	4,873	6,967	10,218	10,417	11,266	11,633	12,230	13,327	13,592	38
75640	Superior (Total)	9,011	9,296	12,483	12,501	12,613	12,765	12,844	12,862	12,989	39
28745	Fruita	6,478	6,727	12,646	12,655	12,703	12,728	12,641	12,770	12,674	40
73825	Steamboat Springs	9,815	10,509	12,088	12,056	11,949	11,920	12,059	12,270	12,399	41
26270	Federal Heights	12,065	12,026	11,467	11,515	11,682	11,851	12,044	12,208	12,370	42
26600	Firestone	1,908	2,248	10,147	10,249	10,593	10,879	11,154	11,506	11,961	43
28360	Frederick	2,467	2,830	8,679	8,735	8,969	9,393	10,152	10,891	11,387	44
27810	Fort Morgan	11,034	11,047	11,315	11,328	11,417	11,329	11,294	11,227	11,218	45
12390	City of Castle Pines	-	4,033	10,368	10,403	10,538	10,699	10,802	10,906	10,956	46
30780	Glenwood Springs	7,736	7,884	9,614	9,571	9,543	9,663	9,816	9,846	9,909	47
64255	Rifle	6,784	6,907	9,172	9,136	9,116	9,229	9,246	9,277	9,359	48
01090	Alamosa	7,960	8,489	8,780	8,812	8,930	8,932	9,049	9,150	9,285	49
17760	Craig	9,189	9,192	9,464	9,468	9,187	9,004	8,961	8,807	8,820	50

19850	Delta	6,400	7,852	8,915	8,897	8,774	8,856	8,832	8,672	8,769	51
17375	Cortez	7,977	8,001	8,482	8,484	8,472	8,472	8,554	8,603	8,727	52
69645	Sheridan	5,600	5,531	5,664	5,686	6,017	6,556	7,235	7,650	8,175	53
78610	Trinidad	9,078	9,140	9,096	9,033	8,790	8,771	8,394	8,123	8,103	54
27700	Fort Lupton	6,787	7,218	7,377	7,454	7,523	7,614	7,695	7,800	7,847	55
83230	Wellington	2,672	2,682	6,289	6,310	6,377	6,481	6,690	7,103	7,662	56
86090	Woodland Park	6,515	6,853	7,200	7,229	7,174	7,175	7,135	7,449	7,499	57
43110	Lamar	8,869	8,854	7,804	7,802	7,760	7,698	7,630	7,468	7,391	58
03620	Aspen	5,914	6,215	6,658	6,659	6,626	6,648	6,704	6,921	7,099	59
33695	•	3,654	4,151	6,477	6,475	6,447	6,520	6,687	6,813	6,927	60
42110	Gypsum					,		,			
	La Junta	7,568	7,552	7,077	7,083	7,079	7,021	6,953	6,916	6,866	61
22200	Eagle	3,032	3,071	6,508	6,491	6,449	6,469	6,515	6,582	6,674	62
12045	Carbondale	5,196	5,277	6,427	6,395	6,384	6,463	6,493	6,548	6,646	63
04110	Avon	5,561	6,124	6,447	6,425	6,374	6,397	6,445	6,493	6,536	64
13845	Cherry Hills Village	5,958	5,975	5,987	6,013	6,096	6,178	6,267	6,333	6,408	65
50480	Milliken	2,888	3,040	5,610	5,634	5,699	5,776	5,893	6,063	6,351	66
25115	Estes Park	5,413	5,550	5,858	5,897	5,952	6,028	6,084	6,143	6,209	67
33640	Gunnison	5,409	5,405	5,854	5,856	5,750	5,844	6,024	6,075	6,184	68
51800	Monument	1,971	1,987	5,530	5,539	5,690	5,769	5,864	5,958	6,072	69
06255	Berthoud (Total)	4,839	5,005	5,105	5,127	5,161	5,202	5,310	5,657	5,692	70
67280	Salida	5,504	5,586	5,236	5,233	5,282	5,318	5,406	5,416	5,462	71
45530	Lochbuie (Total)	2,049	2,163	4,726	4,808	5,077	5,212	5,270	5,352	5,461	72
80040	Vail	4,531	4,825	5,305	5,288	5,241	5,252	5,299	5,329	5,450	73
48445	Manitou Springs	4,980	4,971	4,992	5,001	5,135	5,204	5,287	5,354	5,446	74
09555	Brush	5,117	5,139	5,463	5,467	5,508	5,466	5,450	5,419	5,415	75
		,	5,139	,	,	,	,	,		,	75 76
23135	Edgewater	5,445		5,170	5,177	5,204	5,245	5,276	5,320	5,315	76 77
08400	Breckenridge	2,408	3,076	4,540	4,552	4,574	4,635	4,788	4,874	5,027	
30340	Glendale	4,547	4,516	4,184	4,200	4,256	4,323	4,374	4,960	5,026	78
22860	Eaton	2,690	2,783	4,365	4,384	4,446	4,526	4,628	4,801	4,925	79
53395	New Castle	1,984	2,073	4,518	4,495	4,485	4,541	4,548	4,597	4,663	80
19080	Dacono	3,015	3,066	4,152	4,181	4,275	4,349	4,411	4,461	4,486	81
70525	Silverthorne	3,196	3,489	3,887	3,904	3,892	3,951	4,031	4,241	4,394	82
49600	Mead	2,017	1,997	3,405	3,440	3,473	3,737	3,922	4,048	4,386	83
51635	Monte Vista	4,529	4,601	4,444	4,451	4,419	4,407	4,349	4,298	4,259	84
10600	Burlington	3,678	3,663	4,254	4,253	4,105	4,026	4,248	3,978	4,188	85
04935	Basalt (Total)	2,681	2,764	3,857	3,849	3,817	3,828	3,863	3,917	3,952	86
27040	Florence	3,653	3,673	3,881	3,881	3,893	3,872	3,826	3,824	3,844	87
65190	Rocky Ford	4,286	4,270	3,957	3,958	3,955	3,921	3,887	3,866	3,837	88
69150	Severance	597	672	3,165	3,204	3,279	3,347	3,417	3,550	3,744	89
86750	Yuma	3,285	3,287	3,524	3,520	3,553	3,539	3,623	3,632	3,632	90
70195	Silt	1,740	1,780	2,930	2,915	2,911	2,967	2,978	3,008	3,046	91
55980	Orchard City	2,880	2,890	3,119	3,114	3,070	3,076	3,065	3,016	2,997	92
	•										
28690	Frisco	2,443	2,792	2,683	2,694	2,679	2,720	2,768	2,829	2,927	93
82350	Walsenburg	4,182	4,156	3,068	3,045	2,960	2,991	2,942	2,906	2,925	94
71755	Snowmass Village	1,822	2,520	2,826	2,826	2,820	2,842	2,868	2,862	2,863	95
10105	Buena Vista	2,195	2,202	2,617	2,615	2,641	2,659	2,722	2,726	2,759	96
56970	Palisade	2,579	2,585	2,692	2,738	2,728	2,722	2,687	2,699	2,692	97
60160	Platteville	2,370	2,381	2,485	2,499	2,523	2,558	2,585	2,616	2,656	98
57025	Palmer Lake	2,179	2,185	2,420	2,423	2,489	2,521	2,564	2,601	2,647	99
44320	Leadville	2,821	2,831	2,602	2,592	2,625	2,588	2,584	2,604	2,647	100
05265	Bayfield	1,549	1,705	2,333	2,357	2,389	2,437	2,489	2,530	2,573	101
06090	Bennett (Total)	2,021	2,066	2,308	2,317	2,350	2,385	2,416	2,446	2,482	102
76795	Telluride	2,221	2,253	2,325	2,319	2,360	2,385	2,405	2,440	2,480	103
77510	Timnath	223	286	625	629	794	1,156	1,522	1,957	2,418	104
49875	Meeker	2,242	2,234	2,475	2,452	2,509	2,485	2,459	2,422	2,384	105
86310	Wray	2,187	2,193	2,342	2,340	2,360	2,358	2,369	2,382	2,345	106
62880	Rangely	2,096	2,097	2,365	2,349	2,326	2,448	2,444	2,395	2,287	107
02000	. tangony	2,000	2,001	2,500	2,040	2,020	۵, ۲۹۰	۵,	2,000	2,201	107

43660	Las Animas	2,758	2,743	2,410	2,413	2,357	2,301	2,244	2,176	2,210	108
37270	Holyoke	2,261	2,250	2,313	2,325	2,275	2,278	2,269	2,248	2,209	109
12855	Center (Total)	2,392	2,401	2,230	2,233	2,235	2,266	2,219	2,186	2,192	110
12635	Cedaredge	1,854	1,861	2,253	2,248	2,214	2,212	2,209	2,168	2,162	111
43605	La Salle	1,849	1,852	1,955	1,967	1,986	2,009	2,033	2,056	2,068	112
44980	Limon	2,071	2,086	1,880	1,883	1,879	1,869	1,862	1,911	1,930	113
47070	Lyons	1,585	1,601	2,033	2,038	2,067	2,091	2,104	1,912	1,925	114
31605	Granby	1,525	1,726	1,864	1,858	1,829	1,860	1,885	1,886	1,917	115
35070	Hayden	1,634	1,589	1,810	1,805	1,794	1,793	1,807	1,840	1,849	116
56860	Pagosa Springs	1,591	1,577	1,727	1,722	1,710	1,731	1,760	1,777	1,804	117
55540	Olathe	1,573	1,601	1,849	1,842	1,823	1,808	1,803	1,803	1,796	118
38370		1.889	1.930	,	1,750	,	1,734	,	,	1,777	119
	Idaho Sprgs	,	,	1,717	,	1,738	, -	1,737	1,753		
00925	Akron	1,711	1,729	1,702	1,703	1,693	1,671	1,685	1,685	1,709	120
57300	Paonia	1,394	1,397	1,451	1,450	1,427	1,424	1,570	1,660	1,650	121
03950	Ault	1,432	1,427	1,519	1,525	1,539	1,557	1,579	1,609	1,631	122
19795	Del Norte	1,705	1,876	1,686	1,688	1,676	1,671	1,650	1,630	1,616	123
37820	Hudson	1,565	1,591	2,356	2,370	2,384	2,566	2,588	1,575	1,584	124
18310	Crested Butte	1.529	1,528	1.487	1.482	1,491	1.502	1,516	1,541	1,580	125
40515	Kersev	1,389	1,401	1,454	1,459	1,473	1,498	1,517	1,538	1,558	126
	,	,	,	,		,	,	,	,		
53175	Nederland	1,497	1,530	1,445	1,447	1,462	1,477	1,488	1,499	1,506	127
23740	Elizabeth	1,434	1,464	1,358	1,358	1,359	1,364	1,376	1,395	1,412	128
48115	Mancos	1,119	1,121	1,336	1,337	1,338	1,343	1,361	1,377	1,407	129
73330	Springfield	1,562	1,569	1,451	1,454	1,456	1,441	1,415	1,392	1,383	130
52550	Mountain Village	978	992	1,320	1,313	1,328	1,342	1,351	1,369	1,379	131
41560	Kremmling	1,578	1,580	1,444	1.440	1,404	1,346	1,341	1,350	1,359	132
16385	Columbine Valley	1,132	1,142	1,256	1,261	1,280	1,303	1,318	1,327	1,357	133
		1,467	1,472	,		,	,	,	,	1,219	134
39965	Julesburg			1,225	1,218	1,218	1,220	1,204	1,197		
40185	Keenesburg	855	876	1,127	1,131	1,144	1,160	1,177	1,190	1,197	135
28305	Fraser	910	886	1,224	1,217	1,189	1,144	1,145	1,153	1,169	136
27975	Fowler	1,206	1,209	1,182	1,182	1,181	1,172	1,161	1,155	1,147	137
57400	Parachute	1,006	1,007	1,085	1,080	1,074	1,090	1,091	1,095	1,103	138
29955	Gilcrest	1,162	1,153	1,034	1,038	1.048	1,060	1,071	1,083	1,083	139
50920	Minturn	1,068	1,079	1,027	1,027	1,025	1,031	1,040	1,046	1,052	140
29735	Georgetown	1,088	1,095	1,034	1,056	1,015	1,027	1,001	1,000	1,044	141
18530	Cripple Creek	1,115	1,115	1,189	1,193	1,186	1,185	1,174	1,000	1,028	142
56145	Ordway	1,248	1,250	1,080	1,085	1,091	1,058	1,042	1,032	1,011	143
56420	Ouray	813	820	1,000	1,003	992	1,008	1,007	998	993	144
34960	Haxtun	982	978	946	950	933	937	926	968	973	145
64200	Ridgway	713	744	924	925	916	929	932	948	972	146
20770	Dolores	857	863	936	936	932	930	940	946	962	147
85705	Winter Park	662	805	999	993	971	935	936	942	962	148
20440	Dillon	802	831	904	906	898	904	919	934	955	149
		1,042	1,045	991	993			978	974	953	150
48060	Manassa Dan Man (Tatal)					990	983				
08070	Bow Mar (Total)	847	838	866	868	878	893	907	922	944	151
07410	Blue River	685	695	849	853	845	850	864	885	911	152
37545	Hotchkiss	968	1,009	944	942	927	926	923	905	899	153
55155	Oak Creek	849	815	884	883	876	874	877	887	895	154
84770	Wiggins	838	854	893	894	906	898	896	890	890	155
59005	Pierce	884	880	834	837	845	855	864	874	878	156
45695	Log Lane Village	1,006	1,012	873	877	884	876	874	868	868	157
		,									
32650	Green Mtn Falls (Total)	773	766	640	808	829	835	844	844	847	158
14175	Cheyenne Wells	1,010	1,004	846	845	860	868	870	851	845	159
67830	Sanford	817	821	879	881	878	872	867	864	844	160
11260	Calhan	896	893	780	780	798	804	808	809	829	161
52570	Mount Crested Butte/G	707	723	801	798	798	797	798	805	825	162
42055	La Jara	877	886	818	820	817	812	807	806	787	163
28105	Foxfield	746	719	685	691	702	716	754	764	774	164
_0.00		7-10	. 10	300	301	102	. 10				

60600	Poncha Springs	466	490	737	737	745	749	761	765	774	165
37215	Holly	1,048	1,046	802	801	796	791	788	772	765	166
44100	La Veta	924	915	800	793	770	778	765	759	765	167
02355	Antonito	873	872	781	783	780	775	771	768	750	168
40790	Kiowa	581	600	723	723	724	726	731	739	744	169
38535	Ignacio	669	813	697	699	702	704	709	723	730	170
37875	Hugo	885	898	730	730	723	718	714	723	729	171
								682			
12910	Central City (Total)	515	519	663	668	665	669		707	724	172
54935	Nucla	734	735	711	708	700	702	701	701	698	173
15605	Collbran	388	607	708	708	705	703	696	700	696	174
21265	Dove Creek	698	708	735	734	720	707	718	692	695	175
25610	Fairplay	610	633	679	682	675	672	678	682	693	176
85155	Williamsburg	714	707	662	662	664	667	659	658	675	177
37600	Hot Sulphur Springs	521	525	663	661	653	634	642	654	658	178
74485	Stratton	669	663	658	658	668	665	660	661	657	179
70580	Silverton	531	533	637	646	633	633	639	657	642	180
70635	Simla	663	664	618	618	619	621	625	632	638	181
19630	Deer Trail	598	594	546	548	555	564	571	581	616	182
68105	San Luis	739	739	629	628	642	629	618	616	613	183
75970	Swink	696	695	617	617	617	611	606	603	598	184
22145	Eads	747	743	609	609	623	613	604	595	597	185
70250	Silver Cliff	512	510	587	591	587	580	582	586	596	186
82130	Walden	734	730	608	604	597	581	588	600	585	187
83450	Westcliffe	417	421	568	568	560	561	563	571	577	188
26765	Flagler	612	616	561	561	569	567	563	563	560	189
54880	Norwood	438	447	518	517	526	534	539	549	554	190
52350	Mountain View	569	568	507	508	510	514	521	528	533	191
53120	Naturita	635	632	546	544	538	533	532	532	530	192
82460	Walsh	723	710	546	547	547	540	530	521	518	193
64970	Rockvale	426	426	487	487	497	498	496	497	499	194
19355	De Beque	451	473	504	503	500	500	492	495	491	195
31550	Granada	640	636	517	516	513	508	503	492	488	196
67005	Saguache	578	578	485	486	488	495	485	478	480	197
00760	Aguilar	593	595	538	534	520	519	496	479	479	198
56365	Otis	534	534	475	475	471	464	468	468	474	199
31715	Grand Lake	447	418	471	469	462	448	453	462	465	200
55045	Nunn	471	472	416	418	422	426	435	440	442	201
		443						429	436		
86475	Yampa		426	429	427	423	424			442	202
52075	Morrison	430	430	428	428	430	432	433	435	437	203
48500	Manzanola	525	522	434	434	434	430	426	424	421	204
17925	Crawford	366	362	431	430	423	423	421	413	409	205
26875	Fleming	426	428	408	408	405	403	401	403	408	206
65740	Romeo	375	378	404	405	404	401	399	397	388	207
85045	Wiley	483	481	405	404	402	398	394	386	382	208
80865	Victor	445	442	397	398	396	399	396	376	379	209
07190	Blanca	391	393	385	385	393	385	375	374	373	210
42330	Lake City	375	377	408	408	398	383	389	372	369	211
72395	South Fork	604	597	386	386	382	380	374	369	365	212
15330	Coal Creek	303	316	343	343	344	343	339	358	358	213
07795	Boone	323	324	339	340	342	342	344	345	348	214
55705	Olney Springs	389	390	345	347	348	337	332	328	322	215
56475	Ovid	330	331	318	318	318	318	318	317	318	216
20495	Dinosaur	319	319	339	339	329	322	320	315	315	217
36610	Hillrose	213	214	264	264	266	264	263	262	301	218
14765	City of Creede	377	379	290	287	286	283	293	281	295	219
24620	Empire	355	393	282	283	282	283	283	287	293	220
50040	Merino	246	242	284	284	284	283	280	281	282	221
500.0		=.0									

COOCE	Red Cliff	200	200	007	000	000	004	000	000	070	222
63265 01530	Alma	289 179	298 182	267 270	266 270	263 269	264 267	266 270	269 273	279 277	222 223
38590	lliff										223
		254	252	266	266	264	263	260	263	264	
39195	Jamestown	205	291	274	274	277	278	280	258	262	225
23025	Eckley	278	276	257	257	259	258	259	260	255	226
64090	Rico	205	207	265	264	260	255	260	250	253	227
09115	Brookside	253	253	233	233	236	242	242	244	247	228
13460	Cheraw	211	216	252	252	252	232	247	246	244	229
29185	Garden City	219	215	234	235	235	238	240	243	244	230
74815	Sugar City	279	279	258	259	260	252	248	246	240	231
06530	Bethune	225	224	237	237	241	240	238	238	237	232
58235	Peetz	227	228	238	238	236	235	233	234	235	233
41010	Kit Carson	357	346	233	233	236	238	238	233	230	234
69040	Seibert	180	186	181	181	184	183	182	219	221	235
03235	Arriba	244	249	193	193	192	191	190	193	195	236
43550	Larkspur	234	214	183	184	185	187	189	191	192	237
55870	Ophir	113	114	159	180	182	183	184	189	191	238
70360	Silver Plume	203	202	170	170	169	169	169	171	173	239
18750	Crowley	187	188	176	177	178	172	169	168	164	240
82735	Ward	169	169	150	150	152	153	154	154	156	241
66895	Rye	202	201	153	153	153	154	153	154	155	242
18420	Crestone	73	89	127	144	140	152	147	151	153	243
68930	Sedgwick	191	190	146	145	145	145	143	142	145	244
33310	Grover	211	214	137	138	139	140	142	143	144	245
29680	Genoa	153	155	139	139	139	138	137	139	140	246
48555	Marble	105	106	131	131	131	130	130	131	134	247
61315	Pritchett	137	137	140	140	140	138	136	133	132	248
62660	Ramah	117	117	123	123	126	126	127	127	127	249
07025	Black Hawk	118	118	118	120	120	120	122	124	126	250
15550	Cokedale	139	140	129	128	125	125	120	118	117	251
51250	Moffat	114	115	116	116	116	118	116	114	114	252
81030	Vilas	110	110	114	114	114	113	110	109	108	253
18640	Crook	128	128	110	110	109	109	108	108	108	254
81690	Vona	95	95	106	106	108	107	106	106	106	255
11645	Campo	150	149	109	109	109	107	106	104	103	256
37380	Hooper	123	122	103	103	104	104	104	103	103	257
63045	Raymer	91	93	96	96	97	98	99	103	101	258
69700	Sheridan Lake	66	66	88	88	90	89	87	86	86	259
34520	Hartman	111	110	81	81	80	80	79	77	76	260
51690	Montezuma	42	42	65	65	65	66	67	68	70 71	261
59830	Pitkin	124			66	67	67	68	68		
			122	66						69	262
34740	Haswell	84	84	68	68	70	68	67	66	67	263
08345	Branson	77	77	74	73	72	71	68	66	66	264
40570	Kim	65	65	74	73	72	71	68	66	66	265
73715	Starkville	128	126	59	59	57	57	54	53	52	266
79270	Two Buttes	67	66	43	43	43	42	42	41	41	267
68655	Sawpit	25	25	40	40	40	40	41	41	41	268
57245	Paoli	42	42	34	34	33	33	33	33	32	269
07571	Bonanza City	14	14	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	270
42495	Lakeside	20	20	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	271

"SDO" is State Demography Office. Source: Colorado Department of Local Affairs, State Demography Office Vintage 2015.

COLORADO POPULATION ESTIMATES BY COUNTY, 2000 - 2015

FIP	Area	July 2000	July 2005	July 2010	July 2011	July 2012	July 2013	July 2014	July 2015	July 2015 Rank
000	COLORADO STATE	4,338,801	4,662,534	5,050,332	5,120,686	5,193,097	5,272,677	5,356,626	5,456,584	
031	DENVER COUNTY	556,738	559,459	604,879	620,817	634,940	649,214	664,453	683,096	1
041	EL PASO COUNTY	519,802	569,322	627,232	638,619	647,758	657,413	665,792	677,022	2
005	ARAPAHOE COUNTY	490,722	528,214	574,819	585,590	595,961	607,751	619,093	630,564	3
059	JEFFERSON COUNTY	526,718	523,517	535,651	539,782	546,002	551,695	559,182	565,230	4
001	ADAMS COUNTY	351,736	395,384	443,711	451,921	460,322	469,971	480,527	490,829	5
069	LARIMER COUNTY	253,088	275,873	300,532	305,113	310,725	316,303	324,129	332,832	6
035	DOUGLAS COUNTY	180,510	244,442	287,124	292,472	298,604	306,604	314,749	322,017	7
013	BOULDER COUNTY	276,255	282,910	295,605	300,225	305,209	310,384	313,572	319,177	8
123	WELD COUNTY	183,076	223,432	254,230	258,629	264,052	270,175	276,089	284,876	9
101	PUEBLO COUNTY	141,854	149,969	159,496	160,258	160,868	161,207	161,788	163,348	10
077	MESA COUNTY	117,651	128,999	147,155	148,114	148,339	148,331	148,450	149,249	11
014	BROOMFIELD COUNTY	38,547	48,251	56,107	57,228	58,734	60,024	61,634	64,788	12
045	GARFIELD COUNTY	44,240	49,256	56,150	56,052	56,835	57,103	57,484	58,082	13
067	LA PLATA COUNTY	44,578	47,713	51,441	51,867	52,457	53,404	54,012	54,907	14
037	EAGLE COUNTY	43,289	47,278	52,057	51,673	51,901	52,441	52,945	53,580	15
043	FREMONT COUNTY	46,370	46,447	46,854	47,408	47,062	46,413	46,339	46,559	16
085	MONTROSE COUNTY	33,577	36,997	41,188	40,901	40,721	40,730	40,862	40,911	17
117	SUMMIT COUNTY	25,709	26,623	28,073	27,944	28,232	28,788	29,451	30,299	18
029	DELTA COUNTY	27,909	29,412	30,889	30,374	30,466	30,326	30,000	29,950	19
087	MORGAN COUNTY	27,251	27,947	28,196	28,436	28,255	28,246	28,163	28,275	20
083	MONTEZUMA COUNTY	23,852	24,603	25,532	25,451	25,434	25,660	25,801	26,139	21
039	ELBERT COUNTY	20,104	22,259	23,107	23,218	23,381	23,649	24,133	24,694	22
107	ROUTT COUNTY	20,123	21,453	23,439	23,234	23,211	23,450	23,852	24,103	23
119	TELLER COUNTY	21,068	22,505	23,441	23,296	23,348	23,261	23,398	23,461	24
075	LOGAN COUNTY	20,655	22,088	22,293	22,257	22,018	21,815	22,095	22,112	25
015	CHAFFEE COUNTY	16,312	17,022	17,797	18,014	18,124	18,281	18,466	18,604	26
089	OTERO COUNTY	20,244	19,370	18,838	18,828	18,659	18,516	18,423	18,288	27
097	PITKIN COUNTY	15,764	16,136	17,156	17,125	17,226	17,388	17,622	17,845	28
093	PARK COUNTY	14,729	16,061	16,262	16,085	16,032	16,149	16,312	16,659	29
051	GUNNISON COUNTY	13,989	14,473	15,309	15,268	15,392	15,614	15,797	16,145	30
003	ALAMOSA COUNTY	15,099	15,395	15,474	15,675	15,689	15,824	15,873	16,008	31

D71 LAS D81 MO D07 ARC D99 PRC 105 RIO 1125 YUM D19 CLE D63 KIT D21 COI	RAND COUNTY S ANIMAS COUNTY DEFAT COUNTY RCHULETA COUNTY ROWERS COUNTY O GRANDE COUNTY JMA COUNTY LEAR CREEK COUNTY T CARSON COUNTY DNEJOS COUNTY	12,853 15,286 13,182 10,043 14,453 12,429 9,815 9,361 7,975	13,897 15,570 12,965 11,402 13,510 12,696 9,892	14,790 15,407 13,806 12,060 12,531 12,001 10,032	14,548 15,042 13,405 12,003 12,459 11,911	14,164 14,962 13,147 12,110 12,364	14,281 14,376 13,099 12,202 12,258	14,465 14,059 12,890 12,231 12,004	14,615 14,038 12,923 12,384 11,893	32 33 34 35
081 MO 007 ARC 099 PRC 105 RIO 125 YUN 019 CLE 063 KIT	COPERAT COUNTY RCHULETA COUNTY ROWERS COUNTY O GRANDE COUNTY JMA COUNTY LEAR CREEK COUNTY T CARSON COUNTY	13,182 10,043 14,453 12,429 9,815	12,965 11,402 13,510 12,696 9,892	13,806 12,060 12,531 12,001	13,405 12,003 12,459	13,147 12,110	13,099 12,202	12,890 12,231	12,923 12,384	34 35
007 ARC 099 PRC 105 RIO 125 YUM 019 CLE 063 KIT 021 COI	RCHULETA COUNTY ROWERS COUNTY O GRANDE COUNTY JMA COUNTY LEAR CREEK COUNTY T CARSON COUNTY	10,043 14,453 12,429 9,815 9,361	11,402 13,510 12,696 9,892	12,060 12,531 12,001	12,003 12,459	12,110	12,202	12,231	12,384	35
099 PRO 105 RIO 125 YUN 019 CLE 063 KIT 021 COI	ROWERS COUNTY O GRANDE COUNTY JMA COUNTY LEAR CREEK COUNTY T CARSON COUNTY	14,453 12,429 9,815 9,361	13,510 12,696 9,892	12,531 12,001	12,459	,	· · · · · ·	,	· · · · · ·	
105 RIO 125 YUN 019 CLE 063 KIT 021 COI	O GRANDE COUNTY JMA COUNTY LEAR CREEK COUNTY T CARSON COUNTY	12,429 9,815 9,361	12,696 9,892	12,001		12,364	12,258	12,004	11 803	
125 YUN 019 CLE 063 KIT 021 COI	JMA COUNTY LEAR CREEK COUNTY T CARSON COUNTY	9,815 9,361	9,892		11,911			, -	11,095	36
019 CLE 063 KIT 021 COI	EAR CREEK COUNTY T CARSON COUNTY	9,361	,	10,032		11,877	11,721	11,580	11,475	37
063 KIT	T CARSON COUNTY	· ·	0.202	-,	10,124	10,092	10,208	10,243	10,125	38
021 COI		7,975	9,392	9,155	9,076	9,096	9,076	9,157	9,328	39
	ONEJOS COUNTY		7,998	8,267	8,182	8,086	8,276	8,047	8,239	40
113 SAN		8,408	8,500	8,282	8,282	8,245	8,223	8,220	8,050	41
	AN MIGUEL COUNTY	6,615	7,045	7,356	7,475	7,578	7,645	7,770	7,859	42
065 LAK	KE COUNTY	7,940	7,284	7,282	7,388	7,294	7,319	7,361	7,483	43
055 HUI	JERFANO COUNTY	7,827	7,823	6,655	6,503	6,569	6,484	6,426	6,474	44
103 RIO	O BLANCO COUNTY	5,969	5,818	6,617	6,692	6,770	6,724	6,623	6,459	45
109 SAC	AGUACHE COUNTY	5,983	6,284	6,144	6,198	6,334	6,243	6,194	6,258	46
D11 BEN	ENT COUNTY	5,967	6,261	6,506	6,309	5,782	5,713	5,741	5,841	47
047 GIL	LPIN COUNTY	4,809	4,892	5,463	5,448	5,457	5,575	5,711	5,819	48
073 LIN	NCOLN COUNTY	6,163	5,787	5,474	5,405	5,445	5,423	5,511	5,549	49
)25 CR	ROWLEY COUNTY	5,130	5,437	5,838	5,814	5,372	5,274	5,524	5,539	50
121 WA	ASHINGTON COUNTY	4,942	4,995	4,815	4,788	4,718	4,764	4,767	4,839	51
091 OUI	JRAY COUNTY	3,776	4,084	4,446	4,424	4,516	4,544	4,592	4,658	52
027 CU	JSTER COUNTY	3,520	4,045	4,272	4,224	4,240	4,286	4,364	4,464	53
095 PHI	HILLIPS COUNTY	4,451	4,548	4,465	4,374	4,384	4,361	4,380	4,331	54
009 BAC	ACA COUNTY	4,499	4,098	3,795	3,797	3,749	3,681	3,619	3,596	55
023 CO	OSTILLA COUNTY	3,674	3,695	3,532	3,645	3,598	3,539	3,556	3,578	56
115 SEE	EDGWICK COUNTY	2,744	2,578	2,367	2,367	2,370	2,347	2,336	2,376	57
033 DOI	DLORES COUNTY	1,849	1,888	2,060	2,022	1,984	2,017	1,944	1,953	58
017 CH	HEYENNE COUNTY	2,216	2,041	1,834	1,865	1,882	1,898	1,859	1,846	59
061 KIO	OWA COUNTY	1,614	1,543	1,399	1,442	1,422	1,403	1,390	1,391	60
057 JAC	CKSON COUNTY	1,576	1,492	1,387	1,374	1,339	1,355	1,386	1,352	61
053 HIN	NSDALE COUNTY	792	813	843	824	795	805	773	767	62
079 MIN	NERAL COUNTY	837	806	705	707	705	725	696	728	63
111 SAN	AN JUAN COUNTY	574	645	709	695	694	702	721	705	64
Vintage 20:	015, August 2016									



Attachment J

GCT-P3

Race and Hispanic or Latino: 2010 - State -- County / County Equivalent

2010 Census Summary File 1

NOTE: For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see http://www.census.gov/prod/cen2010/doc/sf1.pdf.

Geography: Colorado

Geographic area	Total population		Percen	t of total population		
				Race		
				One Race		
		White	Black or African American	American Indian and Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander
Colorado	5,029,196	81.3	4.0	1.1	2.8	0.1
Adams County	441,603	73.3	3.1	1.3	3.6	0.1
Alamosa County	15,445	74.8	1.1	2.8	1.0	0.1
Arapahoe County	572,003 ^(r39380)	72.7	10.2	0.8	5.1	0.2
Archuleta County	12,084	86.4	0.3	1.9	0.7	0.0
Baca County	3,788	92.6	0.6	1.3	0.2	0.0
Bent County	6,499	79.2	7.6	2.4	1.0	0.1
Boulder County	294,567	87.2	0.9	0.6	4.1	0.1
Broomfield County	55,889	86.1	1.1	0.6	6.1	0.1
Chaffee County	17,809	93.2	1.5	1.1	0.6	0.1
Cheyenne County	1,836	93.2	0.4	0.7	0.6	0.1
Clear Creek County	9,088	95.3	0.6	0.8	0.6	0.0
Conejos County	8,256	76.2	0.3	2.2	0.3	0.0
Costilla County	3,524	68.0	0.7	3.0	1.0	0.3
Crowley County	5,823	77.4	9.6	2.4	1.0	0.0
Custer County	4,255	95.3	1.0	0.7	0.4	0.0
Delta County	30,952	89.7	0.5	1.0	0.5	0.0
Denver County	600,158 ^(r39385)	68.9	10.2	1.4	3.4	0.1
Dolores County	2,064	93.0	0.2	2.9	0.1	0.1
Douglas County	285,465	90.2	1.2	0.4	3.8	0.1
Eagle County	52,197	83.2	0.7	0.7	1.0	0.0
Elbert County	23,086	94.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.1
El Paso County	622,263	79.8	6.2	1.0	2.7	0.4
Fremont County	46,824	90.2	3.9	1.7	0.6	0.0

Geographic area	Total population		Percer	nt of total population		
				Race		
				One Race		
		White	Black or African American	American Indian and Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander
Garfield County	56,389	82.2	0.7	1.1	0.7	0.1
Gilpin County	5,441	94.8	0.5	0.8	1.4	0.2
Grand County	14,843	93.5	0.4	0.5	0.8	0.1
Gunnison County	15,324	92.4	0.3	1.4	0.7	0.0
Hinsdale County	843	95.1	0.4	1.1	0.4	0.0
Huerfano County	6,711	82.8	0.5	3.2	0.4	0.2
Jackson County	1,394	92.5	0.0	1.2	0.1	0.0
Jefferson County	534,543	88.4	1.1	0.9	2.6	0.1
Kiowa County	1,398	96.3	0.3	0.5	0.0	0.0
Kit Carson County	8,270	88.5	2.7	0.7	0.4	0.1
Lake County	7,310	80.7	0.4	1.3	0.5	0.1
La Plata County	51,334	86.8	0.4	5.8	0.6	0.1
Larimer County	299.630	90.5	0.8	0.7	1.9	0.1
Las Animas County	15,507	83.6	1.4	2.4	0.7	0.0
Lincoln County	5,467	89.0	5.3	0.9	0.8	0.0
Logan County	22,709	89.0	4.0	1.2	0.6	0.1
Mesa County	146,723	89.4	0.6	1.1	0.8	0.1
Mineral County	712	97.3	0.3	0.6	0.1	0.0
Moffat County	13,795	90.0	0.3	0.9	0.6	0.1
Montezuma County	25,535	81.1	0.2	12.2	0.5	0.1
Montrose County	41,276	86.7	0.4	1.1	0.6	0.1
Morgan County	28,159	79.4	2.9	0.8	0.5	0.1
Otero County	18,831	78.5	0.8	1.8	0.8	0.1
Ouray County	4,436	96.4	0.2	0.4	0.6	0.1
Park County	16,206	94.7	0.4	0.9	0.6	0.0
Phillips County	4,442	89.2	0.4	0.5	0.6	0.1
Pitkin County	17,148	93.5	0.5	0.3	1.2	0.1
Prowers County	12,551	81.0	0.5	0.9	0.3	0.0
Pueblo County	159,063	79.4	2.0	1.9	0.8	0.1
Rio Blanco County	6,666	91.9	0.8	0.9	0.3	0.2
Rio Grande County	11,982	78.3	0.4	1.8	0.3	0.0
Routt County	23,509	94.8	0.4	0.5	0.6	
Saguache County	6,108	82.3	0.4	1.9	0.8	
San Juan County	699	92.6	0.0	0.3	1.1	
San Miguel County						
Sedgwick County	7,359 2,379	92.9 92.2	0.4	0.8	0.7	
Summit County						<u> </u>
Teller County	27,994	89.7	0.8	0.3	1.0	
Washington County	23,350	94.3	0.5	0.9	0.7	
Weld County	4,814	95.3	0.6	0.1	0.2	
Yuma County	252,825	82.9	1.0	1.1	1.2	
Turna County	10,043	88.2	0.2	0.5	0.2	0.0

Geographic area		Percent of total population							
	Rac	е	Hispanic or Latino (of any race)	White Alone, not Hispanic or Latino					
	One Race	Two or More	,						
	Some Other Race	Races							
Colorado	7.2	3.4	20.7	70.0					
Adams County	14.6	4.0	38.0	53.2					
Alamosa County	15.9	4.4	46.0	49.6					
Arapahoe County	6.8	4.3	18.4	63.2					
Archuleta County	7.8	2.8	17.8	78.2					
Baca County	3.7	1.6	9.2	87.7					
Bent County	7.8	1.9	30.5	59.0					
Boulder County	4.5	2.7	13.3	79.4					
Broomfield County	3.3	2.8	11.1	79.4					
Chaffee County	1.9	1.6	9.4	86.6					
Cheyenne County	4.1	1.0	9.7	88.1					
Clear Creek County	0.9	1.7	4.7	92.1					
Conejos County	16.8	4.1	56.0	41.8					
Costilla County	22.8	4.3	66.0	30.8					
Crowley County	7.8	1.7	29.0	57.9					
Custer County	0.9	1.7	4.7	92.0					
Delta County	6.0	2.3	14.0	83.0					
Denver County	11.9	4.1	31.8	52.2					
Dolores County	1.3	2.4	4.0	90.9					
Douglas County	1.7	2.6	7.5	85.2					
Eagle County	12.3	2.1	30.1	67.3					
Elbert County	1.2	1.9	5.3	91.0					
El Paso County	4.9	5.1	15.1	72.0					
Fremont County	1.6	1.9	12.3	80.4					
Garfield County	12.6	2.6	28.3	68.8					
Gilpin County	0.6	1.8	4.9	90.9					
Grand County	3.1	1.6	7.5	89.7					
Gunnison County	3.3	2.0	8.2	89.1					
Hinsdale County	1.3	1.8	2.8	93.2					
Huerfano County	9.0	3.9	35.3	61.9					
Jackson County	5.0	1.1	10.8	87.4					
Jefferson County	4.2	2.7	14.3	79.9					
Kiowa County	1.4	1.5	5.6	93.3					
Kit Carson County	6.2	1.4	19.0	76.4					
Lake County	13.5	3.6	39.1	58.2					
La Plata County	3.3	3.1	11.8	80.3					
Larimer County	3.2	2.6	10.6	84.5					
Las Animas County	8.4	3.4	41.6	54.2					
Lincoln County	2.0	1.9	12.5	79.5					
Logan County	3.7	1.5	15.6	78.2					

Geographic area		Percent of total	population	
	Rac		Hispanic or Latino (of any race)	White Alone, not Hispanic or Latino
	One Race	Two or More Races		
	Some Other Race	Nuovo		
Mesa County	5.4	2.7	13.3	83.1
Mineral County	0.3	1.4	2.9	95.2
Moffat County	5.9	2.2	14.4	82.7
Montezuma County	3.3	2.6	11.0	75.1
Montrose County	8.7	2.4	19.7	77.5
Morgan County	13.9	2.4	33.8	61.7
Otero County	14.3	3.8	40.3	56.5
Ouray County	1.0	1.4	4.4	93.4
Park County	0.9	2.4	4.8	91.6
Phillips County	7.9	1.4	18.7	79.4
Pitkin County	3.0	1.4	9.1	87.9
Prowers County	14.7	2.6	35.2	62.7
Pueblo County	12.1	3.7	41.4	54.1
Rio Blanco County	3.7	2.3	10.0	86.3
Rio Grande County	15.9	3.2	42.4	55.1
Routt County	2.1	1.6	6.8	90.6
Saguache County	12.0	2.8	40.1	56.4
San Juan County	3.7	2.3	12.0	85.1
San Miguel County	3.4	1.8	8.6	88.5
Sedgwick County	4.7	1.6	12.1	85.6
Summit County	6.6	1.6	14.2	82.7
Teller County	1.1	2.5	5.5	90.6
Washington County	2.1	1.5	8.5	89.4
Weld County	10.8	2.9	28.4	67.6
Yuma County	9.8	1.1	20.8	77.9

(r39380) This count has been revised.

Revised count: **571,914**Revision date: **08-02-2013**

For more information, see 2010 Census Count Question Resolution.


(r39385) This count has been revised. Revised count: **600,247**

Revision date: **08-02-2013**

For more information, see 2010 Census Count Question Resolution.

X Not applicable.

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 Census. 2010 Census Summary File 1, Tables P5 and P8.



Attachment L



2015 Colorado Reported Statewide Crimes

<u>Statewide Crimes</u> • <u>Statewide Arrests</u> • <u>Adult Arrests</u> • <u>Juvenile Arrests</u>


Offenses	Year	Number of Reported Crimes	Actual Percent Change	Average Crimes per 100,000	Percent Change Based on Population
Homicide *	2015	172	14.7%	3.2	14.3%
	2014	150		2.8	
Rape	2015	3,275	10.6%	60.2	8.9%
	2014	2,961		55.3	
Robbery	2015	3,321	9.6%	61.0	7.6%
	2014	3,031		56.7	
Agg. Assault	2015	10,682	4.6%	196.3	2.8%
	2014	10,213		190.9	
Other Assaults	2015	34,028	7.8%	625.2	6.0%
	2014	31,556		589.8	
Burglary	2015	23,333	0.9%	428.7	-0.9%
	2014	23,134		432.4	
Larceny	2015	102,369	4.1%	1,880.7	2.3%
	2014	98,315		1,837.7	
Auto Theft	2015	15,932	27.7%	292.7	25.5%
	2014	12,478		233.2	
Total	2015	193,112	6.2%	3,548.0**	4.4%
	2014	181,838		3,398.8**	

^{*} Excludes Negligent Manslaughter
** Due to rounding in categories, the total number of offenses does not match the actual rate.

2015 Forecast Population 5,443,612 - Crime Index Value 54.43 2014 Forecast Population 5,350,572 - Crime Index Value 53.50 (Source: Colorado Division of Local Government) $\underline{\mathsf{Home}} \bullet \underline{\mathsf{Introduction}} \bullet \underline{\mathsf{Agency Statistics}} \bullet \underline{\mathsf{Statewide Totals}} \bullet \underline{\mathsf{Major Crime Trends}} \bullet \underline{\mathsf{Supplemental Reports}} \bullet \underline{\mathsf{Definitions}} \bullet \underline{\mathsf{Map}} \bullet \underline{\mathsf{1998-2014 Reports}}$







Domestic Violence Program

2015 Executive Data Summary

The Colorado Department of Human Services, Domestic Violence Program (DVP) provides approximately \$2.6 million in funding for community-based domestic violence programs throughout the state of Colorado. These programs save lives by providing 24-hour crisis intervention, safe shelter, trauma-informed advocacy, information and referrals to community resources, and community education on the impact that domestic violence has on the lives of survivors and their families.

DVP engages in statewide collaborative efforts to ensure the best possible interventions for survivors across all systems and communities. Continuing to assess how to end domestic violence and develop plans for responding is critical to providing the most effective services and best outcomes for Colorado citizens, now and in the future.

We are fortunate in Colorado to have communities that recognize the importance of this issue, a State

2015 59,123 Crisis Calls 19,594 Answered Clients Served 4,157 Residential Clients Received 15,599 Adults & 91,148 Nights of Shelter 3,995 Children 8,129 Served Presentations & Events to **74,955** People \$2.6 Million granted to 46 programs in Colorado For more information, go to www.colorado.gov/cdhs/dvp


government that values safe families and communities, and impassioned and creative people leading the charge to foster a Colorado free of domestic violence.





"The funding received by DVP is an essential part of our advocacy program. It allows us to assist victims on a deeper, individual, relationship level to achieve their goals. Without this funding, we can give referrals to community resources, but we would not be able to meet with victims on a one on one basis daily to assist them in overcoming challenges and obtaining successes. Our current level of support allows us to look at each victim's individual needs to create a program plan unique to them for safety and Self-sufficiency."

~ A Woman's Place, Weld County

Demographics of Clients Served







Impact of the Work: Outcome Measures

DVP-funded programs tracked two specific outcome measures to evaluate the impact their services had on the lives of survivors. These outcomes have been demonstrated to contribute to improvements in longer-term safety and well-being of survivors.

Service Area	The percentage of survivors who have increased their strategies for enhancing their safety	The percentage of survivors who have increased their knowledge of available community resources
Residential (Shelter) N = 1,800	96.22%	94.94%
Non-Residential Supportive Services & Advocacy / Individual Counseling N = 6,106	98.20%	96.99%
Non-Residential Support Group N = 1,579	96.26%	94.14%

Attachment N

11/28/2016 Crime in Colorado 2015



2015 Domestic Violence Report

Arson • Cargo Theft • Domestic Violence • Hate Crime • Homicide • LEOKA

The Colorado Domestic Violence report contains information on the number of domestic violence incidents and victims reported to the Colorado Bureau of Investigation by Colorado law enforcement agencies.

Domestic Violence Definition per Colorado Revised Statute 12-36-135(a) - An act of violence upon a person with whom the actor is or has been involved in an intimate relationship. Domestic violence also includes any other crime against a person or any municipal ordinance violation against a person when used as a method of coercion, control, punishment, intimidation, or revenge directed against a person with whom the actor is or has been involved in an intimate relationship.

Total Number of Reported Domestic Violence Victims By Offense		
Offense Type	By Number of Reported Victims	
Aggravated Assault	1,993	
Forcible Sex Offenses	541	
<u>Homicide</u>	26	
<u>Intimidation</u>	1,017	
<u>Kidnapping</u>	1,152	
<u>Robbery</u>	96	
Simple Assault	12,898	
Total	17,723	



Home • Introduction • Agency Statistics • Statewide Totals • Major Crime Trends • Supplemental Reports • Definitions • Map • 1998-2014 Reports



DENVER METRO DOMESTIC VIOLENCE FATALITY REVIEW COMMITTEE

2015 SUMMARY OF DOMESTIC VIOLENCE RELATED FATALITIES IN COLORADO

This is a *draft* of the list of domestic violence related fatalities in Colorado in 2015. This information is distributed and available to every person in our state that has an interest in domestic violence prevention. We have given due diligence to compiling the most accurate information possible and in that spirit we welcome feedback from law enforcement, prosecution, victim service and any other agencies, or individual professionals, statewide to help ensure our research is accurate and complete.

Thanks to you diligent professionals who assist us in keeping this tally accurate. Again, please forward this document to any and all that may have information or knowledge of a domestic violence related death that we have not listed. As in past years, our numbers will differ from those released by the Colorado Bureau of Investigation due to the fact that we count *all fatalities in the context of domestic violence*, including those that occur in same-sex relationships, collateral deaths and suicides. It is our understanding that CBI does not include domestic violence related deaths (or suicides) in these categories. There is always the potential to add to the 2014 (or any) list. We will alert you as soon as we garner new information.

As is always the case, we are currently tracking domestic violence related fatalities that have occurred in 2016 in Colorado. If you have knowledge of any fatal incidents in your communities that appear to be in the context of domestic violence, please alert us as soon as possible.

Please do not hesitate to contact us with questions, suggestions, etc. – 303-522-0932 or via email dvfrc@hotmail.com

Thank you all for your support, patience and interest as well as your hard work.

Dora-Lee Larson
DDVCC Executive Director

Shanna Mae Petersen DMDVFRC Coordinator

The Denver Metro Domestic Violence Fatality Review Committee & Advisory Group 01/09/2015

Phenia Martinez (25) was 8 months pregnant when she was reported missing early in January. Her boyfriend Joseph Romero (56) was arrested for second-degree murder after fleeing to Pueblo County and turning himself in. He stabbed Martinez multiple times in the torso. Neither Martinez nor her unborn son survived the attack. (Pueblo County) (1) (M)

01/11/2015

Danya Spiess (38) was shot once by her husband, Steven Scott Spiess, in their Fruita home. Danya called police concerned about her husband being drunk, argumentative, and armed. The couple had been fighting about money. Danya was shot while on the phone with dispatch. After shooting his wife Steven then turned the gun on himself. Unsuccessful suicide. Police took him to the hospital for treatment and then arrested him. Even though she died 3 months after being shot, he was convicted of 2nd degree murder. (Fruita, Mesa County) (1M)

02/01/2015

Angela Howard (36) was found dead in her Loveland home with multiple gunshot wounds. Her presumed partner, Russell Woolman (52), was the main suspect. He was found dead in Howard's truck near Lyons, CO. The gun found with Woolman was consistent with the gunshot wounds inflicted on Howard. Woolman's cause of death has not been released, but there were no traumatic injuries. It has not yet been ruled as a homicide/suicide. (Loveland, Larimer County) (2) (M)

02/04/2015

Larissa Tiffany-Schiess (28) was retrieved from her burning house in Fountain, CO. The death was suspicious and the arson suggested a murder cover-up. According to a coroner's report, Tiffany-Schiess was strangled and had her throat slit before the arson occurred, she also reeked of gasoline. The main suspect is **Thomas Turley (29)**. Turley and Tiffany-Schiess were suspected of having some type of intimate relationship says close friends. Turley fled and was arrested in Pueblo. He had in his possession Tiffany-Schiess' car, cellphone, and other belongings. He has since pled guilty to the charges of murder and arson and faces up to 70 years. (Fountain, El Paso County) (1) (M)

02/10/2015

Dorthe "Dorde" Mcnaul (73) was found dead after being shot multiple times by her husband of 52 years, **James Mcnaul (72)** in their home near Silverthorne. James then turned the gun on himself after one fatal shot. James had filed for divorce only a month before the incident. (Summit County) (2) (M/S)

02/10/2015

Brian P Fritze (45) was shot & killed by Garfield County Deputies. He was armed with a loaded handgun and had an extraordinarily high level of methamphetamine in his system. He was pursued after officers tried to contact him regarding a domestic violence call. His wife had reported that Fritze had violated a protection order by slamming his wife against a wall and brandishing a weapon. The initial crime of DV was in the parachute area, but he was killed by Garfield County Sheriffs Office in the Glenwood Springs area. (Glenwood Springs – Garfield County) (1) (Suicide by Cop)

02/16/2015

Maria Carminda Portillo-Amaya (30) was brutally killed by her husband with a machete in their Carbondale apartment. Naverette-Portillo had made threats before about killing himself or Maria to close friends. After Arturo fled the scene he crashed into the back of a cattle truck intentionally. Arturo Naverrete-Portillo (46) was airlifted after the crash.

During the flight he confessed to emergency workers that he killed his wife on Feb 16th, 2015. Naverette-Portillo claims that his crash was a suicide attempt. Maria did not survive the attack and Arturo is being charged with first –degree murder. (Carbondale, Garfield County) (1) (Attempted M/S)

02/16/2015

Nora Reachel Deleon (40) was stabbed to death, Monday, February 16, 2015. At about 6:30 a.m. on Monday [February 16, 2015], police were called to the Birch Apartments to investigate and found Deleon dead inside. Severino Almendariz (55) was arrested. Almendariz was found in the laundry room and was arrested. The nature of Deleon and Almendariz's relationship is not clear. (Glendale, Arapahoe County) (1) (M)

02/21/2015

Jacqueline Degarmo (55) was found dismembered in the woods on May 3rd by hikers. Degarmo's hands and head were both removed and missing. After being able to identify her body through the combination of a missing person's report and a distinguished tattoo, law enforcement began to investigate her family. Her daughter, Alisia Blythe, told officers that after Degarmo and Blythe's fiancé (John Brant) got into an argument. He then strangled her to death. Brant (32) then pulled her body out into the backyard. When she returned home her mother's body was gone. Brant disposed of her body in the woods and was found almost 3 months later. Brant is being charged with first-degree murder, concealing death, and abuse of a corpse. (Englewood, Arapahoe County) (1) (M)

02/25/2015

Kendra Chavez (21) was brutally stabbed by her former boyfriend, Leonard Martinez (21). The incident occurred after an altercation between the two. Chavez called her mom and warned her that Martinez was exceptionally angry. Chavez was stabbed in her throat at the Curve Motel. Martinez pled with other hotel residents to take care of her while he fled from the scene. Chavez was unable to speak due to the amount of blood in her throat. She passed away a week later due to the injuries. Martinez was apprehended and will now be charged with homicide. (Lakewood, Jefferson County) (1) (M)

04/17/2015

Marsha Watson (60) was killed by her husband Stanley Watson (72) in an RV park in Canon City, CO. After the police were called to the RV Park, Stanley barricaded himself inside the RV. The negotiation process for Watson to exit the vehicle was about 4 hours. Upon his exit he fired multiple shots, in return the police shot him, which resulted in his death. Marsha was found dead in the front of the vehicle. Marsha's cause of death is due allegedly to shots fired by Stanley. (Canon City, Fremont County) (2) (M/Suicide by cop)

04/23/2015

Merta Martindale Hoyer (Martie, 62) was shot and killed by her husband Darrell Hoywer (65). He also shot and killed their two dogs. After the incident Darrell drove to a parking lot and shot and killed himself in his truck. (Fort Collins, Larimer County) (2) (M/S) (2 animal deaths)

05/08/2015

Leah Cabrera (33) was shot and fatally killed by her husband. **Joshua Cabrera (35)** is alleged to have shot Leah, set their house on fire, and then killed himself. It is still unsure whether Leah was shot before or after the house was set ablaze. They had an 8 year old and a 15 year old that resided with them; neither was home at the time of the

incident. (Colorado Springs, El Paso County) (2) (M/S)

O6/03/2015 Maria Stoian (45) was found dead from a single gunshot wound to her head in the couple's home in Thornton, CO by a family member. Stefan Stoian (47), her husband, was the main suspect. He fled to and was apprehended in Sparks, Nevada. He is being charged with first-degree murder and domestic violence. (Thornton, Adams County) (1) (M)

O6/22/2015 Louis Joseph Antencio (50) was shot and killed by Greeley Police after refusing to drop his weapon in a stand-off in the street. A witness found Angelina Abeyta (41) outside on the neighbor's porch with gunshot wounds presumably from Atencio. The police were contacted and Abeyta was taken to the hospital. Abeyta survived the attack. (Greeley, Weld County) (1) (Suicide by cop)

07/18/2015 **Kirsten Russell Lockett (36)** sought refuge from her estranged ex-husband in her brother's house. Her husband, **Richard Allen Lockett (44)**, entered through the window into the room that her and her 5 children were staying in. Richard stabbed her in the neck multiple times. By the time law enforcement was able to get to the scene Kirsten had passed away. Richard had a history of abusing her and threatening her. When Richard was taken into custody stabbing marks were found on his neck as well. Some suspect that he attempted suicide. None of the children were injured at the time of the incident. Richard has been charged with first-degree murder, kidnapping, burglary, stalking, and violation of a protection order. (Castle Rock, Douglas County) (1) (M)

O7/24/2015 Amanda M. Ybarra Velasquez (23) was found with multiple stab wounds at a home she shared with Edward Lawrence Aragon (33). The location is in Rocky Ford, CO in Otero County. He is awaiting trial and is being charged with first-degree murder. (Otero County) (1) (M)

O7/31/2015 **Dydrick Martin** was fatally stabbed in the chest by his girlfriend, **Shandrea Bowie (32).**After an argument between the two, Martin went to the kitchen and threw three kitchen knives on the ground. He told Bowie to stab him. He hit her phone out of her hand; she then took a kitchen knife and stabbed him in the chest. Her daughter went to a neighbor's house that then called the police. Bowie is in custody and is being charged with 2nd degree murder and 4 counts of child abuse because her children were present at the time of the incident. (Denver, Denver County) (1) (M)

O8/16/2015 Police were called to an Elbert residence after a call about domestic violence was made (caller not identified). The police proceeded to do a welfare check and that is when they found **Donna (40)** and **Rick Hunt (64)** both dead. Law enforcement says there is no threat to the community. The cause of death or type of death has not been released. It appears to be a murder/suicide. (Elbert County) (2) (M/S)

09/09/2015 Laurie Jane Teter's (37) body was found northeast of Fort Morgan. Robert Smith (37),
Teter, and her boyfriend had been living in a tent on a farm. Officials report there was a
physical altercation. Laurie was last seen walking away from the farm September 2. And
her body was found September 9. (Fort Morgan County) (1) (M)

- 12/12/2015 Mireya Ramirez (28) was fatally stabbed by her boyfriend. The altercation began in their apartment while her mother; June Lee Simpson was in the living room. Simpson tried to intervene and was also stabbed. Ramirez ran outside to flee the fight but the perpetrator continued to stab her repeatedly. Simpson frantically called the police. When they arrived the perpetrator refused to drop his weapon and the police shot and killed him. His name has not been released but, her family says it was Ramirez's boyfriend. (Arapahoe County) (2) (M/Killed by police) (1 ND)
- 12/20/2015 Norma Frias (48) was found with a gunshot wound to her head in her husband's SUV.

 Police were called to a fender bender and that is when they found Norma. Hector Frias

 (50) was found later that night intoxicated and covered in blood. He admitted to doing it and reported it as an accident. He said he would have never intentionally shot his wife because they were married for over 30 years and funeral expenses are too high for his family. (Adams County) (1) (M)

FURTHER CONSIDERATIONS

- O2/24/2015 Alvin Eugene Carrethers (55) was found stabbed to death at a local quadplex. Toni
 Carrethers (51) was taken into custody for questioning but has not been charged and
 has since been released. Both Alvin and Toni have extensive criminal records. (Aurora,
 Arapahoe County) (1) (M)
- 03/24/2015 Shelley Dorsey (26) was shot in the Mountain Country Estates apartment complex. There has not been a suspect identified. The death has been considered a homicide. (Colorado Springs, El Paso County) (1) (M)
- O6/01/2015 Grand Junction woman, **Barbara Ann Blanton-Marez (39)**, was found dead in a stolen pickup truck after engaging in a high-speed chase from the police in Weld county. She was a person of interest regarding the murder of her ex-husband, **Lawrence Marez (50)**. Blanton-Marez had a history of violence and had previously pled guilty to two felony charges- assault causing serious bodily harm and menacing with a weapon. (Grand Junction, Mesa County & Weld county) (2) (M/S)
- O6/01/2015 Abigail "Abby" Cozad (31) was found dead in an Adam's County hotel room (Primrose Motel). The alleged suspects are Emiliano Urioste and Teresa Dean. Urisote and Cozad met a month before the incident outside of a mental health clinic. Witnesses said they saw Cozad enter the hotel with plastic wrapped around her legs. Cozad's father states that due to a traumatic brain injury (Cozad suffered as a teen due to a drunk driver) she was "too trusting". The cause of death was due to blunt force trauma to the back of the head and neck. Her body was discovered inside the hotel couch by the maintenance man. Police said they discovered sexually explicit photos of Abby on Urioste's phone. (Denver, Adams County) (1) (M)
- O6/29/2015 Patricia Richmond (23) was found dead at a home owned by her future in-laws home. She was strangled to death and tied up face don. the tragedy was worsened when evidence of a post-mortem rape. The suspect was a cousin of her fiancé, Cole Pollard (21). Pollard has now been sentenced to 60 years in prison. (Routt County) (1) (M)

NEAR DEATH

08/11/2015

Carmen Bermudez (33) was arrested after stabbing her husband, **Nerio Pinales (45)** multiple times. After the incident he was able to get to a neighbor's house and call the police to notify them. Bermudez is currently in custody. Pinales' condition is stable. (Broomfield, Broomfield County)

UPDATES FROM PREVOUS YEARS

8/20/2014

Dennielle Lee Desiree Leichtle's (35) body was found shot to death in the family home. Denver Police arrested Robert Perez (40), her estranged husband, a month after she was discovered. Perez had said she had committed suicide. Perez was held without bond for investigation of first-degree murder. Family members say that she had gone to the house to tell Perez that their marriage was over. Family said Perez was a jealous and controlling husband. On May 13, 2016 Robert Perez was found guilty of murder in the first degree after a two week long trial. (Denver) (1) (M)

Total Incidents: 28
Total Deaths: 37

Women Killed by Male Partner	17	1
Women Killed by Female Partner	0	
Women Killed by Other Men	5	
Women Killed by Other Women	0	
Suicides by Women	1	

Men Killed by Female Partner	2
Men Killed by Male Partner	0
Men Killed by Other Women	0
Men Killed by Other Men	1
Suicides by Men	4

Murder Only: 19

Murder/Suicide: 7

Suicide Only: 2


Children killed: 0

Animal Deaths: 2

Locations of Incidents

Adams: 3 Arapahoe: 4 Denver: 1 Douglas: 1 Elbert: 1 El Paso: 3 Fremont: 1 Fort Morgan: 1 Garfield: 2 Jefferson: 1 Larimer: 3 Mesa: 2 Otero: 1 Pueblo: 1 Routt: 1 Summit: 1






Top 5 Needs or Problems Identified:

- 1. Not enough bilingual/bicultural victim advocates (Domestic Violence)
- 2. Lack of affordable housing (Domestic Violence)
- 3. Lack of accessible housing (Domestic Violence)
- 4. Lack of transitional housing (Domestic Violence)
- 5. Not enough civil legal services (Domestic Violence)

Additional Responses:

- Jurisdiction problem, with town, county, state
- Lack of well-trained coordinated community response teams. Teams trained by CCADV are the most effective in the state.
- Tribal Code does not have specific domestic violence laws. Working on now to be able to use TLOA and VAWA standards.
- Many of these need the opportunity to comment or explain. For example, there is a dearth of housing across all sectors, not just for victims of crime.
- Lack of primary (before something happens) prevention funding.




Top 5 Needed Training Topics:

- LGBTQ issues (Law Enforcement)
- 2. General Training of DV, SA, Stalking and Dating Violence (Law Enforcement)
- 3. LGBTQ Issues (Prosecution)
- 4. Hate motivated DV, SA, Stalking & Dating Violence (Courts)
- 5. Hate motivated DV, SA, Stalking & Dating Violence (Law Enforcement)

Additional Responses:

- Local Native Language Translator
- Division of Social Services, Health Center and Family Court Support Office all need this training as well
- I don't currently work in the Criminal Justice arena, so I can't speak to what is needed there, but it seems as if there can never be enough training in any of these subject areas.



Top 5 Community Needs:


- 1. Not enough resources/services for underserved populations (people with disabilities, LGBTQ people, etc.) by providers that understand the culture/population
- 2. Not enough resources/services for culturally-specific populations (racial and ethnic minorities) by providers that understand the culture/population
- 3. Not enough resources for prevention initiatives for DV, SA, Staling or Dating Violence
- 4. Not enough resources (funding, volunteers) for victim services programs that work with DV, SA, Stalking and Dating Violence victim
- 5. Not enough programs to assist immigrants who are victims of DV, SA, Stalking, Dating Violence; Not enough specialized law enforcement officers/investigators to work with DV, SA, Stalking and Dating Violence victims; Not enough specialized prosecutors/investigators to work with DV, SA, Stalking and Dating Violence victim (3-way tie)


Q4. What ideas do you have for dual DV/SA programs for how their outreach and programs can be more tailored to the unique needs of SA victims?

- More awareness in our community
- offenders counseling, victim counseling with native language
- For DV and SA work together to outreach and serve clients. Many client have dual victimization and have a hard time accessing services because many services are divided. They many times can only receive services from one agency for one victimization.
- Way more training on identification of, and response to, intimate partner sexual assault.
- Utilizing bilingual and culturally sensitive staff who know the people and community.
- Constant education of the general public on advocacy, dispelling myths, labeling and identifying risk factors and behaviors.
- How to build buy in from community when small tribal community views DV/SA as normalized in family life and a persistent historical trauma issue
- They can provide community education and outreach on reporting options and forensic exams. Staff can receive training on trauma-informed practices.
- Work with experts in the field, use the state SA coalition as a resource, Ending Violence Against Women is another good resources. If there are SVU programs at law enforcement agencies or DA's office, creating a Coordinated Community Response to work with victims in a trauma informed way. Learn the laws!
- The National Resource Sharing Project has a publication that is a good starting place for strengthening SA services in dual programs http://www.resourcesharingproject.org/strengthening-our-practice-ten-essential-strengths-sexual-violence-victim-advocates-dualmulti

Q5. If your community has a SANE program, is the program working? What would help to improve the SANE program?

- No SANE, closest is 40 miles away. Does not work out good for our victims, they are treated poorly from the tribe.
- None
- yes, it can use some improvement in culturally specific services
- Don't know.
- NA
- Believe that SANE program is in Durango which is 25 miles away. Unsure of current status.
- I do not have knowledge of this currently.
- We have a very strong SANE program
- It can always be better. I believe that we have been lacking in the number of SANE Nurses.
- Colorado has a good SANE program. The problem is primarily in small, rural districts. Hard to have a SANE nurse in low population areas. (That problem exists in other areas too, such as sex offender treatment providers.)
- Our program just got back on line.





Q7. Additional Details:

- Doing monthly meeting on certain issues and planning for upcoming events
- Triage, Denver domestic violence coordinating council, fatality review
- Many communities do not actually know what an effective CCR actually is, and think that their community meetings are CC
- Obtained OVW funding to create a team and community response to DV. Working with Legal Department to craft DV laws into Tribal Code. No case reviews are being considered. Are developing a supervised visitation program.
- Colorado has a number of membership organizations that promote safety and justice for victims of domestic violence and sexual assault--some new and others that are 30 years old or more. Once again, the programs are primarily in urban areas.

Q8. Please tell us about the underserved populations in your community and what types of services are needed to meet their needs. "Underserved populations" is defined as "populations who face barriers in accessing and using victim services, and includes populations underserved because of geographic location, religion, sexual orientation, gender identity, underserved racial and ethnic populations, populations underserved because of special needs (such as language barriers, disabilities, alienage status, or age), and any other population determined to be underserved by the Attorney General."

- Cultural and special needs
- Tribal and community don't want to get involved in any kind of relationship issues nor volunteering. There are issue within the tribe, such as domestic violence and etc. If county and state would bring in their awareness' of D/V etc. I think it's would really help. Right now I am one person trying to deal with the outline of our grant requirement.
- In the Denver Metro are there are undeserved population limited Spanish services and cultural competent services. There needs to be more language accommodation. More shelters and transitional housing for woman who are undocumented. More easy accessible shelters for men whom are victims. More advocacy in the court house.
- Culturally engaging and responsive services are needed. This needs a different approach, takes more time and is not just a replication of mainstream services.
- Immigrant community, who have access and language barriers. Majority are afraid to access services available or do not know how to go about it.
- The community I serve is a Native American Tribe and can be identified as an underserved population.
- Historical trauma issues and community acceptance of DV is huge barrier for victims to willingly access counseling services, legal services, and local support services. Victims will not use county DV shelter when in need preferring to remain with offender or go live with other family members often having similar issues or substance issues. Consideration of community education of DV/SA with consistent and confidential resources to encourage engagement with victims and their families is needed. Local DV treatment is desperately needed given the high frequency of victims finding greater safety in remaining with their offenders rather than suffering the extended battle of trying to separate, maintain care and control of their children, and finding financial stability and housing.
- Basic needs, including adequate income, housing, health and mental health provisions, child care. This are especially important when there are language, ability, and other barriers.
- Rural communities with few resources generally have limited services. Victims may have to travel many miles to receive services. Also, there are cultural barriers to seeking services, particularly among immigrant communities that are not English proficient.
- Generally, I have concerns with victims who have co-occurring immigration issues. There appear to be more services for Latino populations that those of Guatemalan descent. Also, I have some concerns for the college student victims.

Q9. Please tell us about any culturally specific community-based organizations in your community and what types of services they provide for survivors/victims of domestic violence, sexual assault, stalking and dating violence. *An organization is considered a culturally-specific community-based organization if the organization is a nonprofit, nongovernmental organization or tribal organization that serves a specific geographic community that: focuses primarily on domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, or stalking; has established a specialized culturally specific program that addresses domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, or stalking; has a primary focus on underserved populations (and includes representatives of these populations) and domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, or stalking; or obtains expertise, or shows demonstrated capacity to work effectively, on domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking through collaboration; and: is primarily directed toward racial and ethnic minority groups; and is providing services tailored to the unique needs of that population.

- Currently have a domestic violence program
- I do supervise visitation and don't get D/V, D/V, S/A and stalking referral but the court does their own referral and we do not have anyone certified to do D/V counseling
- Asian Pacific, Indian Resource Center, Domestic Violence Initiative and DOVE
- The tribe is working on developing DV/SA procedures and training law enforcement.
- Southern Ute Indian Tribe has a Southern Ute Victim Services Office in the Southern Ute Police Department. Unfortunately only two advocates have been taking the brunt of work for all crime victims and been unable to successfully keep the third advocate position hired and retained. The native community lost its volunteer victim services organization Our Sister's Keeper two years ago which has been a huge loss. A small group of victim women have formed thru aftercare substance treatment program willing to meet and talk with other victims and to provide culturally-specific support. However, they have also spoken strongly against most tribal departments working with victims and offenders reducing respect for suggesting use of their support.
- Latina Safehouse, DOVE, Asian Pacific Agency, Denver Indian Family Resource Center, DVI, SRC
- Project Safeguard Divorce and APR Clinics provide free divorce and APR clinics to Spanish-speaking victims of domestic violence. Volunteer
 attorneys, paralegals and law students help participants by explaining domestic forms and procedure, giving legal information and providing
 referrals to free legal resources.
- Immigrant Resource Center

Q10. What is the greatest need or needs of domestic violence, sexual assault, stalking, and/or dating violence victims in your community?

- Advocacy for the victims, funding to help the victims and their families, and emergency housing.
- State-wide DA representation (experienced advocates that are totally devoted to DV and Sex Assault without other competing responsibilities) on policy and legislative committees.
- Domestic Violence's counselor.
- More funding, shelters and transitional housing
- Specialized, intensive on-site T.A. to address specific needs of either the DV/SA program which may be struggling or the community response.
- housing, employment, transportation
- Raising awareness, identifying it as a problem and educating offenders as well as victims
- Strong and consistent tribal laws that will hold offenders accountable including specifically trained DV treatment providers locally accessible to offenders. Laws also need to hold victim safety first and not to allow expungement of legal charges to release DV offenders from the no firearms requirement.

- This is a really big question and difficult to put into a box. Needs vary depending on the individual so much. Housing seems to be one of the biggest needs though.
- Therapy
- Training and resources
- Support Groups
- While educational efforts continue to improve in the state, we must maintain momentum. Much like advertising, a victim may have to hear the message multiple times before she takes advantage of programs. Plus, rural areas typically do not have the resources of urban areas.
- Education across the board for laypeople, police officers and court personnel / judges. There is great resistance to our office going forward on a DV case with a non-participating victim across the board. Also, non-stranger (and especially intimate partner) sexual assaults are nearly impossible to prosecute. Finally, alcohol or drug facilitated sexual assault cases are also very difficult due to public opinion on the associated issues.

Q11. If you are a tribal agency, what is the greatest need or needs of domestic violence, sexual assault, stalking and/or dating violence victims in your community?

- Funding and emergency housing for the families
- Money for counseling payment and transportation. Need offender's counseling program.
- see above
- Culturally appropriate and well trained DV offender treatment providers and programming towards prevention of future violence. Allowance for
 couples counseling if victim chooses to remain with offender to enable them both learning communication and coping skills to prevent further
 violence.
- money for training and resources

Q12. What is the greatest need or needs of the people/agencies who work with victims of domestic violence, sexual assault, stalking and dating violence victims in your community?

- Funding
- See answer to #10
- Get some outreach workers or program into the community from different department, county, state and federal.
- Housing
- A process that ensures a minimal level of competences and provides some level of consistency across the state. Outline or training requirement on the roles of system-based advocates and confidential community-based advocates.
- Adequate funding.
- financial and physical resources (advocates, safe houses, housing)
- Clear Tribal laws and consistent usage of those laws for the safety of victims and their children
- Education and therapy for victims
- recognition of sexual assault and the unique needs of survivors (as something separate from domestic violence)
- Advocates, economic and psychological needs assessment
- There are a number of needs--primarily financial-- but bi-lingual and/or culturally sensitive advocates is a significant need.

Q13. Is your community engaging in any new or innovative practices that address the needs of domestic violence, sexual assault, stalking and dating violence victims? Please tell us about the practice and the impact.

- Yes, we are doing awareness events and walks for dv and SA
- Sex Assault rapid response team from DA's office comprised on intake DA and investigator.
- Putting up booth at different community events
- We are in planning to provide community based training on DV, strengthen laws and train law enforcement and all related departmental staff in new laws to have consistent offender management and treatment.
- We are a large agency in a large community. We are involved with many different agencies focused on the needs of victims from shelter to basic life needs. We are currently looking at ways to streamline services for victims so it is easier for them to get all the services they need in the most trauma-informed way. This will be a collaborative effort with the DA's office, community providers, law enforcement and others.
- With respect to judicial training for judges and magistrates, we are attempting to develop information sources that can be accessed quickly and easily at a moment of need (as opposed to hour long courses). It is early in development.

Q14. Is there anything else you would like us to know about your agency's or community's needs?

- Money for social events, like gather of elderlies or children to provide snacks, games activities money to buy give away toys, like go fish games, puzzle, soft balls and etc. have a small statement on prevention and to get help phone numbers.
- There should be equal funding (a percentage set-aside) for COVA, CCADV, and CCASA. EVAW's role needs to be more clearly defined to eliminate duplication.
- Funding such programming is quite difficult and use of short term grant funds does not allow sufficient time to change community thinking and build a strong base of support for victims and their safety, hold offenders accountable and obtain appropriate treatment towards change. Tribal departments struggle how to engage community and families to consider a different way of life.
- We're making advances, but have a long way to go.



January 12, 2017

U.S. Department of Justice Office on Violence Against Women (OVW) 145 N Street, NE, Suite 10W.121 Washington, D.C. 20530

RE: 2017-2020 S.T.O.P. VAWA Implementation Plan and 2017 S.T.O.P. VAWA Application for funding

Dear Sir/Madam:

This letter is submitted in regards to the State of Colorado's 2017-2020 S.T.O.P. VAWA Implementation Plan and 2017 S.T.O.P. VAWA Application.

The City of Brighton is the recipient of 2017-2018 VAWA funds. We are extremely grateful to receive these funds as these funds make a noticeable impact in the victim centered/offender focused response and services provided to the sexual assault victim by members of the Brighton Police Department and Brighton/Commerce City Victim Services Unit.

It has been estimated that approximately 5-20% of victims report the crime of sexual assault to law enforcement, with male survivor's reporting their assault at even lower rates than women. Statistical data collected show that 1 in 4 women and 1 in 7 men will be sexually assaulted in their life time. These staggering statistics alone indicate traditional investigation methods only address a portion of sexual assault victims and truly are not meeting the needs of victims in breaking down the many barriers to reporting to law enforcement. In order to increase conviction, provide services and resources to survivors, and increase detection of serial sexual assault perpetrators, the Brighton Police Department and other law enforcement agencies across the country are employing the *You Have Options Program*. In doing so, we have realized the additional staff needed to provide services and criminal investigation in a victim centered/offender focus manner. The budget required to fund these positions is not readily available without Federal and State assistance.

These Grant funding allows for one Brighton Police Department (BPD) Sexual Assault/Domestic Violence (SA/DV) Investigator and one Brighton/Commerce City Sexual Assault Victim Advocate Specialist (BCSAVAS) to provide comprehensive victim centered/offender focused approach to the investigations of DV/SA in the City of Brighton and advocacy services to SA/DV within City of Brighton and Commerce City. BPD has and CCPD is implementing You Have Options Program (YHOP) which is victim centered/offender focused approach to address

barriers to reporting to law enforcement (LE) and increase services to victims of SA. The BPD SA/DV Investigator will review and thoroughly investigate all SA/DV reported to BPD to increase successful prosecution. BCSAVAS will provide statutorily mandated victim rights act (VRA) services to include, crisis intervention, support, information, referrals and assistance completing Crime Victim Compensation (CVC). Together each will continue to support the ongoing and implementation of YHOP.

So far the implementation of the You Have Options Program has increased Sexual Assault report to the Brighton Police Department by 75% from 44 in 2015 to 77 in 2016. The population served had been mainly female, aged 25-59 and females 18-24, that identify 40% Hispanic, and roughly 36% limited English proficiency and 60% White, Non-Latino or Caucasian.

The Brighton Police Department are very grateful for these grant funds. We will continue to increase our services and continue to make a positive impact to those victims who have been impacted by sexual assault in our community. We will strive to continue the increase Sexual Assault detection, reporting, investigation, prosecution through a victim centered/offender focused response.

Sincerely,

Paul Southard Chief of Police

Brighton Police Department

Kimberly K. Messina

Brighton/Commerce City Victim Services Coordinator

Brighton Police Department

Fax: 303-659-

303-655-2300

Office of the State Court Administrator



December 14, 2016

U.S. Department of Justice Office on Violence Against Women (OVW) 145 N Street, NE, Suite 10W.121 Washington, D.C. 20530

RE: 2017-2020 S.T.O.P. VAWA Implementation Plan and 2017 S.T.O.P. VAWA Application for funding

Dear VAWA Administrators:

Colorado Judicial Education (CJE) is a division within the State Court Administrator's Office that is responsible for providing educational development, training, and performance support to judicial officers and staff (e.g., court clerks, staff). As a direct result of the assistance from the U.S. Department of Justice, CJE has been able to provide specific training and technical assistance on VAWA-related issues statewide.

When the program began five years ago, CJE primarily focused on improving judicial awareness of VAWA issues (power and control in domestic violence relationships, sex offender treatment levels, etc.). Since that time, the program has expanded into additional areas of performance support and community outreach. In other words, the program has evolved from general knowledge (clearly an important need) to targeting specific judicial performance and meeting community needs.

One of the primary challenges of judicial officer training is providing training to judges who have varying levels of experience. New judges typically need basic legal information (statutes, regulations, courtroom issues). More experienced judges, on the other hand, generally need short updates regarding changes in state or federal law, or information regarding trends in VAWA enforcement. In addition to time on the bench, judges' needs also are affected by their areas of expertise (e.g., a civil law background versus a criminal law background). Our current VAWA training focus is attempting to distribute VAWA information in a way that provides support at a specific moment of need (such as on the bench).

CJE recently developed the first version of a knowledge database that we have titled "WikiCourt." In general, WikiCourt works similarly to Wikipedia for VAWA-related issues. For example, assume a judge would like to obtain information about what happens if the parties covered by a protection order inadvertently meet in public. While information about accidental contact might have been a one minute segment within a 60 minute domestic violence course, judges can now type "accidental", "inadvertent", or "incidental" into the WikiCourt text box. Judges would then see, Accidental Contact / Protection Order as an entry. A single click would then provide, "Restrained parties under a protection order are obligated

to immediately remove themselves from a public place if there is inadvertent contact. Judges may want to explain that situation to the parties while they are in the courtroom." As with Wikipedia, judges will have authority to add helpful advice to the WikiCourt database, thereby making it more robust over time.

That is just one example of how VAWA funding has played an integral role in educating our judiciary on VAWA topics. An annual event hosted by CJE is the VAWA Institute, which we hold the day before the statewide Judicial Conference. The Institute, which provides judicial officers with a forum for in-depth discussion on difficult issues (such as the issuance of Civil Protection Orders in domestic violence cases) has had excellent participation rates.

In addition to direct judicial education, Colorado Judicial Education has been able to extend the knowledge and resources provided by VAWA grants to other Colorado agencies, such as the Colorado Probation Department, Sex Offender Management Board, and Domestic Violence Offender Management Board. We have also assisted various local organizations on VAWA programs, such as Project Safehouse and the Colorado Bar Association's Domestic Violence subcommittee.

We are privileged to report that our program success has been greatly assisted by the leadership of the Colorado Division of Criminal Justice VAWA Grants Management team. Grants Administrator Ashley Lopes was able to attend our fall VAWA Institute. We have found her team to be extremely supportive of our efforts to expand the distribution of VAWA information to judicial officers and community members throughout the state.

Need for VAWA Grant Funds

VAWA grant funding has allowed CJE to develop specific programs with respect to VAWA issues. Without U.S. Department of Justice funding, VAWA training and performance support would be greatly reduced in scope and capacity.

Intended Use of VAWA Grant Funds

VAWA funds will be used to continue the expansion of VAWA educational programs in Colorado. New programs will include WikiCourt (discussed earlier), a bench basics video program on VAWA issues for new judges, as well as a new community outreach effort in which judges will appear before local community organizations.

Expected Result of Grant Funds

For VAWA training, our primary goal is to eliminate judicial biases so that parties can: 1) access the courts 2) receive fair treatment after entry. In general, victims of domestic and/or sexual abuse are generally seeking information about the process, the chance to provide input, and the sense that they've been heard by the court and the offender. CLE's VAWA training programs and systems are designed to provide judicial officers with the information they need to provide the parties with a fair hearing of their issues, as well as provide the public with the perception that the justice system has fully understood their issues. We are committed to ongoing evaluation of this program to be sure we are on target with our goals.

Sincerely,

Staff Development Administrator

Colorado Judicial Department



225 Sixth Street, Suite B * P.O. Box 1534, Alamosa, CO 81101
Phone: (719) 587-3225 * Fax: (719) 587-0045 * Email: flora@sivirc.org

DEC 1 2 2816

December 9, 2016

Office On Violence Against Women U.S. Department of Justice 145 N Street Suite 10W.121 Washington, DC 20530

RE: 2017-2020 S.T.O.P. VAWA Implementation Plan

Dear Sir/Madam:

This letter is submitted in support of Colorado's application for VAWA funds for 2017 and to express the need for continued funding from the Office on Violence Against Women (OVW).

The San Luis Valley Immigrant Resource Center "Por Ti Misma-Assisting Battered Immigrants Program has been in operation since late 2000, shortly after VAWA 2000 was enacted, starting with five clients. Sixteen years later, this program provides advocacy and immigration legal assistance services to approximately 75 crime victims per year. Services are provided in the San Luis Valley, a six county area, and throughout the State of Colorado. Services provided, include completing and submitting immigration applications, such as the I-360 Special Immigrant petition, I-765 work authorization, deferred action, adjustment applications, and U-visas. We also assist clients in securing housing, food, employment, counseling, healthcare, learning the English language, etc. Outreach and education regarding domestic violence and remedies available is provided to the immigrant population, as well as service providers in the area.

The San Luis Valley Immigrant Resource Center is the only "BIA Accredited Center" in the San Luis Valley and currently has three Accredited Representatives on staff. The Immigrant Advocate assigned to the Por Ti Misma-Assisting Battered Immigrants Project is bilingual in the English and Spanish languages, and has eight years of experience working with crime victims. The Executive Director is also bilingual in the English and Spanish languages, BIA Accredited for the past fifteen years, and has over thirty years of working with non-profit organizations. The San Luis Valley currently has no immigration attorneys and the San Luis Valley Immigrant Resource Center is the only agency where immigrants can get immigration legal assistance without having to travel 250 miles (Denver, CO) to meet with an attorney.

The San Luis Valley Immigrant Resource Center serves a geographically remote area in south central Colorado, known as the San Luis Valley. The San Luis Valley is a rural, agricultural area consisting of six counties, roughly the size of Connecticut, which stretches 80 miles north to south and more than 50 miles east to west, with approximately 50,000 residents. The area is

home to more than 40,000 permanent residents and 10,000 migrant and seasonal workers.

Approximately fifty percent of the San Luis Valley population is listed as minority, of Mexican, Guatemalan, and other Central American country decent. It is one of Colorado's poorest regions, with much of the population living below the poverty level. Two of the valley's six counties are the poorest in the state and among the poorest in the nation. Ninety-five percent of the population served is Spanish speaking, mainly from Mexico and Guatemala with limited English

proficiency. The other five percent are from other countries, which include Canada, the Philippines, Cambodia, China and Germany. All of the clients served are considered indigent, well below the poverty line, earning approximately \$15,000 for a family of four. The majority

are agricultural/seasonal workers.

The continuation of the Por Ti Misma-Assisting Battered Immigrants Program allows battered immigrants to end the destructive role that domestic violence plays in their lives and also allows them to achieve economic self-sufficiency. This program aims to enable battered immigrants to improve their lives and future prospects in their new country (the United States) by focusing on three core areas: domestic violence, immigration, and economic empowerment. These issues are interconnected and each is critical to immigrant women's ability to enjoy safety for themselves and their children, and to succeed economically. However, the incoherence among policies on these issues complicates the lives of battered immigrants. Currently we are able to provide services without interruption and/or without placing individuals on a waiting list. This is very effective, because crime victims are reluctant in working with an organization that tells them to come back at another time. In my opinion, they are already victims and placing them on a waiting list results in further victimization.

The STOP Violence Against Women formula grant program funds have been essential in providing immigration legal assistance services to the San Luis Valley Immigrant population in a time of ever increasing demand. The STOP Program funding funds a full-time time Immigrant Advocate position. If the STOP program funding would cease to exist, this position and the Por Ti Misma-Assisting Battered Immigrants program would likely have to be eliminated. Our current and future clients would have no place to get assistance with their domestic violence/immigration case because the majority of our clientele do not have the means to pay for legal fees. I respectfully ask that you look favorably at their request.

Sincerely,

Flora Archuleta

BIA Accredited Representative/

Executive Director



DEPARTMENT OF LAW KRISTIN BRONSON CITY ATTORNEY

Prosecution and Code Enforcement

201 West Colfax Ave., Dept. 1207 Denver, CO 80202-5332 p: 720-913-8050 f: 720-913-8010

4 January 2016

U.S. Department of Justice Office on Violence Against Women (OVW) 145 N Street, NE, Suite 10W.121 Washington, D.C. 20530

RE: 2017-2020 S.T.O.P. VAWA Implementation Plan and 2017 S.T.O.P. VAWA Application for funding

Dear Sir/Madam:

This letter is submitted in regards to the State of Colorado's 2017-2020 S.T.O.P. VAWA Implementation Plan and 2017 S.T.O.P. VAWA Application.

The Denver City Attorney's Office provides advocacy to an average of 2,000 victims of domestic violence annually, and thanks to S.T.O.P. VAWA funding, we can also offer these victims the opportunity to speak with a Specialized Domestic Violence Prosecutor. When a case is charged at the municipal level, the victim is not contacted by any victim advocate on scene and charges are filed on scene without further investigation. Consequently, our advocates provide the initial outreach to victims, inidividuals who often are still in crisis and may be suffering from the effects of trauma. We recognize that the criminal justice system can add to that trauma: by contacting victims at the very start of the criminal justice process we lessen their fears, reduce the traumatic impact of the "system," and enhance their safety through planning and risk assessment. We also give them a voice in the process by having them speak with a prosecutor. Our grant allows us to fund the Specialized Domestic Violence Prosecutor who brings consistency, expertise with domestic violence cases, and strong relationships with the domestic violence detectives and parallel district attorney to identify cases that should be refiled at a higher level.

As a result of this funding and this position, victims in municipal domestic violence cases gain a better understanding of how the criminal justice system works and why cases may go forward when they want them to be dismissed; they are more engaged in the criminal justice process; risk factors are considered for bond arguments; and both victim safety and offender containment are enhanced.

Our S.T.O.P. VAWA funding also allows the Denver City Attorney's Office to address domestic violence, sexual assault, and stalking in later life through the creation of a statewide training team that focuses on abuse in later life. To better address the safety and needs of older victims, professionals from law enforcement to prosecutors to victim service providers must develop a deeper understanding of the unique dynamics of domestic violence, stalking, and sexual assault in later life as well as the barriers keeping the victims from safety. Unfortunately, in an era of hiring freezes and budget cuts, training and technical assistance are frequently-cut line items, travel expenses are categorically denied, and small agencies cannot afford to cover workloads to allow other staff time off for training. This funding helps overcome those training gaps and barriers.

Training participants learn techniques to help them better investigate and respond to domestic violence, sexual assault, and stalking cases involving victims age 60 and older; understand the frequent co-occurance of different types of abuse impacting victims age 60 and older; and gain an understanding of Colorado's elder abuse mandatory reporting law.

Without S.T.O.P. VAWA funding, the Denver City Attorney's Office would not be able to provide the same level of quality prosecution and services to victims of domestic violence, and we certainly would not be able to train professionals across the state on how to better investigate and respond to victims of domestic violence, sexual assault, and stalking in later life. These funds are crucial to our work and to victim safety.

Sincerely,

Linda Loflin Pettit

Suda Seli Petit

Victim and Community Outreach Program Manager

Denver City Attorney's Office

Announcement

ANNOUNCEMENT OF AVAILABLE FUNDS

Crime Victim Services Funds (CVS)

- Victims of Crime Act Fund(VOCA)
- Violence Against Women Act Fund (VAWA)
- Sexual Assault Services Program (SASP) and
- State Victim Assistance and Law Enforcement Program (State VALE)

January 1, 2017 – December 31, 2018

OFFERED THROUGH THE COLORADO DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE (OFFICE FOR VICTIMS PROGRAMS - OVP)

APPLICATION DEADLINE: TUESDAY, March 1, 2016, 5:00 p.m.

About the Funding Agency

The Office for Victims Programs (OVP), a unit of the Division of Criminal Justice, is committed to the physical and emotional recovery of crime victims and to the restoration of victims' confidence in the criminal justice system.

Program Description

This Announcement describes a consolidated application and funding process to distribute victim services grant funds from four grant sources – federal Victims of Crime Act (VOCA), federal Violence Against Women Act (VAWA), federal Sexual Assault Services Grant Program (SASP) and State Victim Assistance and Law Enforcement (State VALE) funds for a single grant award period. Funds will be allocated in accordance with state and federal requirements and the intended uses of the four grant programs. Funding recommendations made by the *Crime Victim Services Advisory Board* will be for *two consecutive one-year periods with separate contracts for each year*, and successful applicants will not have to reapply for funds in 2017. Grant applications, goals and objectives, and budget information should reflect a *one-year period*. Contracts with grantees will be executed annually to assure that grantees are meeting all programmatic and financial requirements. The next CVS application process will occur in the spring of 2018, for funding in the calendar years 2019-2020. Financial obligations of the State of Colorado are contingent upon funds being appropriated, budgeted, and otherwise made available.

Although most applicants will submit one application, there may be situations where more than one application will have to be submitted. Applicants requesting funds for **separate**, **distinct** projects (such as a specialized prosecutor devoted to prosecuting domestic violence and sexual assault cases and a victim services project serving all crime victims within a district attorney's office) will be required to submit a separate application for each project. This is a function of the Colorado Grants Management System (COGMS). Applicants who are considering submitting more than one application should contact OVP before doing so to ensure that separate applications are appropriate. In most instances, a separate application will not be required.

OVP staff will administratively determine which funding source (VOCA, VAWA, SASP, State VALE) is appropriate for funded projects in accordance with the individual grant program requirements during the grant contracting process. Requirements such as the use of volunteers, amount and type of match, and match waivers, when applicable, will also be handled administratively by OVP staff during the grant contracting process. For a complete summary of specific federal and state funding allocations, match requirements, purpose areas, priorities, and eligible services please refer to the Application Instructions on the OVP website at http://dcj.ovp.state.co.us/.

Sexual Assault Programs: Sexual assault is an underreported crime and although Colorado has made great strides in recent years to provide services to victims of sexual assault, there is much more to be done to adequately serve victims. Programs providing services to victims of sexual assault, including direct services to victims, prosecution, law enforcement, courts and/or the development and enhancement of collaborative efforts, are strongly encouraged to apply for these grant funds.

Emergency Funds: After completing a statewide needs assessment, the need for additional funds for Emergency Assistance for victims of crimes was determined to be a top priority of programs across Colorado. In an effort to assist programs in meeting the needs of victims in their communities, applicants are encouraged to request funds for emergency assistance in this application. Examples of eligible types of assistance are funds to provide emergency shelter, including hotel stays and short-term

nursing home shelter for elder abuse victims, and support for food, clothing and supplies (toiletries, diapers, etc.) for victims and their dependents. Programs that request emergency assistance funds must be able to provide appropriate documentation of expenditures, including receipts, and be able to demonstrate a working relationship with Victim Compensation Programs in their Judicial District (this can be demonstrated through listing your local Victim Compensation Program as one of your collaborators in your application and providing us with a copy of your Memorandum of Understanding or a letter of support). Programs granted emergency assistance funds will be able to request reimbursement for their expenses on a monthly basis. For further details on applying for emergency assistance funds, please refer to the Application Instructions on the OVP website at http://dcj.ovp.state.co.us/.

Funding Details

Estimated Amount Available: A total of approximately \$26,000,000.00 from all sources of funds is estimated to be available for calendar year (CY)2017. In CY2015/16, 158 projects were funded for a total of \$9,865,301. The sources and amount of funds to be allocated are:

- \$23,000,000 from the federal Victims of Crime Act (VOCA) Program.
- \$1,900,000 from the federal Violence Against Women Act (VAWA) Program.
- \$350,000 from the federal Sexual Assault Services Program (SASP).
- \$750,000 in State Victim Assistance and Law Enforcement Funds (State VALE).

Criteria for Review of Applications

Applications are reviewed based upon the following criteria:

- The degree to which it is determined that the application meets state and federal requirements and intended use of the funds.
- The project meets at least one state or federal priority or purpose area.
- The extent to which the application fits within the Board's funding philosophy to distribute funds across the 5 service categories while taking into consideration geography, population, need, and available resources.
- Assessment of the applicant's ability to meet financial management, administrative, and organizational requirements and provide the designated services.
- The quality of the proposal and completeness of the information provided in the application.
- The agency has demonstrated a history of providing quality services.
- The community's need for the service has been demonstrated.
- The project would actively address the needs of underserved populations.
- The goals and objectives are clear, measurable, and appropriate for the delivery of services.
- A reasonable plan to collect data on the impact of services has been demonstrated.
- Budget items are reasonable, well justified, and proportionate to the activities. The budget is prepared and calculates correctly. The applicant demonstrates the ability to provide match.
- The diversity of the agency's funding.
- The agency coordinates with other existing services in the community. The project does not duplicate services being provided by other agencies.

Applications will be reviewed by OVP staff and members of the *Crime Victim Services Advisory Board*, which serves in an advisory capacity to DCJ.

Rejection of Proposals: The State of Colorado reserves the right to reject any or all proposals, to waive informalities and minor irregularities in proposals received, and to accept any portion of the proposal or

all items proposed, if deemed in the best interest of the State of Colorado to do so. Failure of the applicant to provide information requested in the application shall be the responsibility of the applicant agency, and may result in disqualification of the applicant. The fact that an applicant meets eligibility requirements and applies for eligible services, does not guarantee funding.

Eligibility Criteria

Eligible applicants include: units of local government, criminal justice agencies, departments of state government, non-governmental non-profit victim services agencies, Indian tribal governments, statewide crime victim services coalitions, and non-profit agencies that provide crime victim services.

In order to be eligible for these funds, agencies must have a DUNS (Data Universal Numbering System) number and must obtain and maintain a current registration in the SAM (System for Award Management). Review the Application Instructions for more information on DUNS and SAM.

Eligible projects/services include, but may not be limited to: Court Appointed Special Advocates (CASAs), child advocacy centers, domestic violence projects, crime victim services projects, SANE/SART projects, sexual assault projects, statewide victim coalition projects, domestic violence and/or sexual assault law enforcement and prosecution projects, victim advocacy projects, domestic violence "fast track" projects, District Attorney's victim/witness projects, formal training projects on domestic violence, sexual assault, stalking, and dating violence; statewide multi-jurisdictional training pertaining to victim assistance; projects that provide services to the following types of crime victims: child physical or sexual abuse, domestic violence, dating violence, stalking, adult sexual assault, robbery, assault, elder abuse, vehicular assault or homicide, DUI/DWI crashes, careless driving resulting in death, adults molested as children, survivors of homicide victims, disabled crime victims, and children who witness domestic violence.

Eligible project purposes include:

- To provide crime victims with access to direct services designed to: (1) address their emotional and physical needs; (2) stabilize their lives after victimization; (3) assist them in navigating the criminal justice system; and, (4) assure their safety and security;
- To implement rights afforded to crime victims pursuant to section 24-4.1-302.5 of the Colorado Statutes and for the provision of additional services and programs to crime victims;
- To improve the coordination of victim services and criminal justice-based victim services;
- To develop and strengthen effective law enforcement, prosecution, judicial strategies and victim services in cases involving violent crimes against women defined as domestic violence, sexual assault, dating violence, and stalking;
- To provide training and systems improvement to enhance the state or local community's ability to address violent crimes against women and provide effective services for crime victims; and,
- To provide direct services to victims of sexual assault.

Organizational Requirements: All applicants must demonstrate in their application that they have the ability to meet the requirements of the grants. A list of these requirements is included in the Application Instructions; please review these requirements to ensure your agency is able to meet them.

Allowable Costs: Personnel providing eligible services, operating costs associated with eligible services, eligible services skills training, supervision of project-related staff and activities, well justified travel, and professional services/consultants providing eligible services, indirect costs, supplies, and equipment.

Unallowable Costs and Activities: Lobbying, fundraising, perpetrator rehabilitation, most food and refreshments, Victim Compensation eligible costs, most medical costs other than SANE exams, property loss, relocation of victims, and inherently religious activities. Please refer to the Application Instructions on the OVP website – http://dcj.ovp.state.co.us/ - for specific unallowable costs for each grant funding source, which may apply to your specific project.

Award Period

January 1, 2017 – December 31, 2018 (two consecutive, one-year periods – separate contracts for each year).

Program Schedule

Applications must be submitted by 5:00 p.m. on Tuesday, March 1, 2016. Grant Application webinars will take place from February 3, 2016 – February 11, 2016. A list of upcoming and important dates is available on our website (http://dcj.ovp.state.co.us/). The CVS Board will meet in the summer of 2016 to make preliminary funding recommendations. Denied applicants will be notified in late July 2016 and will have the option to request a reconsideration of the Board's decision. The reconsideration meeting will be held in August 2016. Final award decision letters will be emailed in late August 2016. For funded projects, award documents will be made available in October. The deadline for contracts to be returned to OVP is November 2016. A timeline/schedule is posted on the OVP website.

Program Website

The 2016 Crime Victim Services (CVS) Application and Announcement can be accessed through the online application system, COGMS, at https://g3.state.co.us/grantium/frontOffice.jsf.

Announcements for the regular CVS Application and the Training Funds, Application Instructions, sample Grant Agreement, Detailed Schedule of Activities, and Required Attachments to your application are available on the OVP website at: http://dcj.ovp.state.co.us/. Applicants are required to read all of these documents.

PLEASE NOTE: All applicants must have a valid Applicant Profile and be registered for the funding opportunity to apply for funds.

- Current Grantees & Agencies that have previously applied for DCJ funding using COGMS: If you are a current grantee, your Applicant Profile should already be in the COGMS system; please refer to the Application Instructions for information on how to get started on your application. If you are a current grantee and are having trouble finding your Applicant Profile information, please contact Kathryn Holland at OVP at 303-239-4395, 1-888-282-1080 toll-free (outside the metro area) or via email at kathryn.holland@state.co.us.
- New Applicants: If you are not a current grantee, please contact Kathryn Holland at OVP at 303-239-4395, 1-888-282-1080 toll-free (outside the metro area) or via email at kathryn.holland@state.co.us to set up an Applicant Profile in the COGMS system. You will not be able to submit your application until your Applicant Profile has been validated by the state; this process takes 3 -5 days. You must request your Applicant Profile no later than February 23, 2016 at 5:00 p.m. You can continue to work on your application while this validation is in process. Once your Applicant Profile has been validated you will be able to submit your application, which is due no later than March 1, 2016 at 5:00 p.m. You will receive an email from Kathy Holland once your applicant profile has been validated.

Contact Information

To assist potential applicants, we have created Application Instructions that are available on our website at http://dcj.ovp.state.co.us/. Please review this document for the answers to many of your questions. If after reviewing the Instructions document you still have questions, or if you have questions about COGMS, please contact OVP at 303-239-5719 or 1-888-282-1080 toll-free. Grant managers cannot provide specific advice on how to write your application but are available to answer questions about COGMS and application questions not explicitly addressed in the Instructions.

FUNDING INFORMATION

Board Philosophy

It is the philosophy of the Crime Victim Services Advisory Board:

- · To equitably allocate state and federal grant funds;
- · Through an objective, criteria-based process;
- In accordance with state and federal requirements and intended uses for the funds;
- Taking into consideration geography, population, need, and available resources:
- To applicants who demonstrate financial management, administrative, and organizational capacity to meet state and/or federal requirements;
- Across the 5 categories of services and activities listed below (not listed in priority order).

Current Services and Activities

The following is a description of the types of services and activities currently supported with OVP grant funds:

Category 1 – Essential Individualized Baseline Services Accessible to Any Population That May Need Them

Core victim services such as: crisis response from law enforcement agencies, crisis response from victim service agencies, hotline advocacy, emergency shelter, emergency protection order assistance, criminal justice advocacy, accompaniment to emergency medical services, accompaniment and support for children and adults at forensic exams and interviews; and coordination and direct supervision of these activities, training and coordination of volunteers who perform these activities.

Category 2 - Individualized Quality Services in Addition to Baseline Services

Legal advocacy, restraining order clinics, longer-term victim support and advocacy (groups, mental health services, longer-term shelter and transitional services), coordination and supervision of these activities, Court Appointed Special Advocate (CASA) programs.

Category 3 – Quality Services Taking Place Over an Extended Period of Time with Higher Degree of Specialization

Fast-track prosecution, specialized prosecution, specialized investigation, probation victim services, retrospective victimization programs, consultation and case management regarding specialized services to specific populations.


Category 4 – Systems Improvement Projects/Administration

Best practices models, community coordination, protocol development, specialized training and expert consultation, overall administration and operation of these activities, outreach, Sexual Assault Response Team (SART), Ending Violence Against Women (EVAW), Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner (SANE) activities, board activities (operating & travel), program evaluation, technology.

Category 5 - Crime Victim Services Related Statewide Programs/Training

Statewide and multi-jurisdictional victim service projects, coalition activities, training for criminal justice and victim service personnel and allied professionals, conferences, VRA compliance oversight.


Figure 1. Approximate Distribution of Funds in the past



Organizational Requirements Include:

- Capacity: Applicants must demonstrate that the agency and staff have the ability, expertise, and experience necessary to effectively provide the proposed services and administer the project.
- **Non-Supplanting:** OVP grant funds may **not** be used to supplant or substitute for state and/or local government funds that would otherwise be available for crime victim assistance services. Grant funds are intended to enhance or expand services.
- Matching Funds: For purposes of this application, applicants must demonstrate the ability to provide a non-federal match for the requested OVP funds as directed in the *Instructions*. Match must be used for project-related activities.
- **Sound Financial Management:** Each applicant is required to meet federal and state requirements for managing OVP grant funds as they appear in the application. Applicants should be able to demonstrate substantial financial support from other sources for their agencies.
- Ability to comply with OVP programmatic and financial reporting requirements.
- **Crime Victim Compensation:** OVP grant-funded agencies must assure that crime victims are informed about the availability of Crime Victim Compensation.
- Confidentiality: OVP grant-funded agencies must comply with applicable confidentiality laws.
- Colorado Victim Rights Act: OVP grant-funded agencies must assure that all staff and volunteers have read and understand the Colorado Victim Rights Act and enabling legislation (C.R.S. 24-4.1.301 et seq).
- **Volunteers:** OVP grant-funded agencies must have the ability to use volunteers to provide direct victim services if required by OVP in the grant contract.
- No Charge to Victims for Crime Victim Services (CVS) Funding: Grantees must provide services to crime victims, at no charge, through the CVS funded project.

Colorado's CY2017 S.T.O.P. VAWA Funds Allocation by Judicial Districts



2016 Schedule of Activities (Funding Period: CY2017-2018)

- ➤ **2/1/16:** CVS Funding CY2017-2018 Announcement/Application now *LIVE* in the Colorado Grant Management System (COGMS)
- 2/3/16, 1-4 p.m.: Webinar for potential applicants for the CVS Funding CY2017-2018 Funding Opportunity.
- 2/8/16, 1-4 p.m.: Webinar for potential applicants for the CVS Funding CY2017-2018 Funding Opportunity.
- **2/10/16, 9-noon:** Webinar for potential applicants for the CVS Funding CY2017-2018 Funding Opportunity.
- > **2/11/16, 9-noon:** Webinar for potential applicants for the CVS Funding CY2017-2018 Funding Opportunity.
- > 3/1/16: CVS Funding CY2017-2018 Application due by 11:59 p.m.
- > 3/1/16-4/15/16: Application Financial and Program Staff Review
- > 4/18/16-6/20/16: Application Review Committees (ARCs) review applications/ 7 ARCs meet
- > 7/20-7/21/16: ARCs present preliminary reccomendations to full Crime Victims Services Advisory Board / 2-day Board Meeting
- > 7/29/16: Notification of Denials
- > 8/5/16: Requests for Reconsideration of Denials due
- 8/19/16: CVS Advisory Board Reconsideration Review Meeting
- > 8/25/16: Notification of Awards/Denials
- > 12/1/16: Signed Grant Agreements due back to OVP
- > 1/1/17: CVS 1st year of grant funding begins